Expanding Upon Issues in Measuring What We Spend: Toward a New Consumer Expenditure Survey

Melvin Stephens Jr.

University of Michigan and NBER

October 16, 2012

Measuring What We Spend

- The report contains the results of tremendous efforts by the panel to
 - synthesize a wealth of information (prior research, workshops, etc.)
 - identify potential improvements in the collection of expenditure data
 - produce prototype surveys that meet the CE requirements while improving the overall quality of the CE data
 - do so within a relatively short period of time

Measuring What We Spend

- The report contains the results of tremendous efforts by the panel to
 - synthesize a wealth of information (prior research, workshops, etc.)
 - identify potential improvements in the collection of expenditure data
 - produce prototype surveys that meet the CE requirements while improving the overall quality of the CE data
 - do so within a relatively short period of time
- While agreeing with the vast majority of the report, three of us felt that there were some important areas that may be overlooked and/or were underdeveloped.
- Our discussion is labelled as a "dissent" in the report, but not really.
- This presentation highlights these issues.



- While the goal is to develop a new methodology, there are many lessons to be learned from existing surveys.
 - The report suggests expanding diary-style data collection
 - Also states that existing interview and diary survey data are not comparable

- While the goal is to develop a new methodology, there are many lessons to be learned from existing surveys.
 - The report suggests expanding diary-style data collection
 - Also states that existing interview and diary survey data are not comparable
- Recent bias and precision estimates compare these two methods (Bee et al 2012).
 - Most interview survey expenditure categories are closer to national income account totals than are diary survey categories.
 - Nearly all diary survey categories have much higher dispersion than the corresponding interview categories.

- While the goal is to develop a new methodology, there are many lessons to be learned from existing surveys.
 - The report suggests expanding diary-style data collection
 - Also states that existing interview and diary survey data are not comparable
- Recent bias and precision estimates compare these two methods (Bee et al 2012).
 - Most interview survey expenditure categories are closer to national income account totals than are diary survey categories.
 - Nearly all diary survey categories have much higher dispersion than the corresponding interview categories.
- Recent evidence from Canadian survey redesign
 - Switch from interview to diary led to 9-14 percent more under-reporting on average (Debreuil et al. 2011).

- While the goal is to develop a new methodology, there are many lessons to be learned from existing surveys.
 - The report suggests expanding diary-style data collection
 - Also states that existing interview and diary survey data are not comparable
- Recent bias and precision estimates compare these two methods (Bee et al 2012).
 - Most interview survey expenditure categories are closer to national income account totals than are diary survey categories.
 - Nearly all diary survey categories have much higher dispersion than the corresponding interview categories.
- Recent evidence from Canadian survey redesign
 - Switch from interview to diary led to 9-14 percent more under-reporting on average (Debreuil et al. 2011).
- Diary survey which includes use of incentives and multiple respondents with tablet technology are primary features of report



Potential Limitations in Redesigns

 While we are very positive about the redesigns, there are elements which would be helpful to address

Potential Limitations in Redesigns

- While we are very positive about the redesigns, there are elements which would be helpful to address
- Panel data is needed for microdata research that investigates changes in consumption
 - Short waves (e.g., two weeks) provide incomplete picture of spending
 - Small number of waves reduces effective sample size for any given change
 - Inability to examine changes at lower frequencies throughout the designs except Design B intensive subsample

Potential Limitations in Redesigns

- While we are very positive about the redesigns, there are elements which would be helpful to address
- Panel data is needed for microdata research that investigates changes in consumption
 - Short waves (e.g., two weeks) provide incomplete picture of spending
 - Small number of waves reduces effective sample size for any given change
 - Inability to examine changes at lower frequencies throughout the designs except Design B intensive subsample
- Modeling of expenditures reduces respondent burden
 - Complexity of decision requires including individual specific prices in model
 - Thwarts use of data to uncover these behavioral relationships
 - Potentially problematic for distributional analysis
 - Modeling based on current income problematic for numerous economic uses
 - Collection of spending over differing time periods in same survey raise a different set of modeling issues.



Quite Helpful But Nearly Invisible Recommendations

- We felt the next set of issues deserved greater attention than given, i.e., recommendations
- Immediately changing language of intro letter to allow for linkages as in
 - American Community Survey (ACS)
 - Survey of Program Participation (SIPP)
 - Current Population Survey (CPS)

Quite Helpful But Nearly Invisible Recommendations

- We felt the next set of issues deserved greater attention than given, i.e., recommendations
- Immediately changing language of intro letter to allow for linkages as in
 - American Community Survey (ACS)
 - Survey of Program Participation (SIPP)
 - Current Population Survey (CPS)
- Development of a gold standard research panel
 - Advocated in design B: the development of an intensive gold standard survey that could be linked to a subset of the sample

Quite Helpful But Nearly Invisible Recommendations

- We felt the next set of issues deserved greater attention than given, i.e., recommendations
- Immediately changing language of intro letter to allow for linkages as in
 - American Community Survey (ACS)
 - Survey of Program Participation (SIPP)
 - Current Population Survey (CPS)
- Development of a gold standard research panel
 - Advocated in design B: the development of an intensive gold standard survey that could be linked to a subset of the sample
- Having ability to balance budget during sample period
 - Requires spending and income collected over same interval
 - Provides additional check ("balance edit") to improve data quality



Improving the Accuracy of the CPI

- Using information on underreporting to improve survey design
 - Emphasis on gathering detail could be linked to item-specific underreporting

Improving the Accuracy of the CPI

- Using information on underreporting to improve survey design
 - Emphasis on gathering detail could be linked to item-specific underreporting
- Addressing underreporting by high income households
 - Recent study documents lower participation of high income households in CE (Sabelhaus et al. 2011).
 - Underreporting of certain items (e.g., jewelry) is linked to higher income households

Improving the Accuracy of the CPI

- Using information on underreporting to improve survey design
 - Emphasis on gathering detail could be linked to item-specific underreporting
- Addressing underreporting by high income households
 - Recent study documents lower participation of high income households in CE (Sabelhaus et al. 2011).
 - Underreporting of certain items (e.g., jewelry) is linked to higher income households
- Role of complementary data sources
 - Much as the PCE combines expenditures from various sources
 - Helpful to define where might the CPI benefit from such data
 - E.g., Alcohol is underreported by 75% in CE
 - Ability to match such data (pulled from a variety of sources) to households may be challenge that is as yet unexplored

