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Research Question

Does the use of records reduce the prevalence of rounding in survey responses significantly?

There are several challenges with answering a question like this one.

- What does “rounded response” mean and how to identify them?
- Measuring significance in right skewed expenditure distributions.
- Record use questions in the survey.
Identifying Rounded Values

- Notice that most expenditure frequency distributions are “spikey.”

- Coarse data are a red flag for rounded data.

- “Heaping” can be observed in most expenditure distributions and is an example of data coarseness.
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Testing Significance

- The distance distributions constructed from the falls are generally non-normal right skewed.
- 68-95-99 Rule fails to provide accurate measure of significance.
- Chebyshev’s Inequality as a benchmark for significance.

\[
\Pr(|X - \mu| \geq k\sigma) \leq \frac{1}{k^2}
\]
Defining the Rounded Value

- A heaped value has the highest probability of being a rounded value.
- Heaped values can be identified as being those expenditure frequency values that are more than two standard deviations from the average fall in a given distribution.
- Order of magnitude matters, so we restrict the domain of evaluation on the orders of magnitude.
Record Use

- A household is said to have “used records” when the field representative notes that the household used records greater than 50% of the time.

- Natural Error and Variance in response.

- Hypothesis is that more record use implies less heaping.
Record Use

- About half of households have data for record use with a small variance depending on the selected time frame for analysis.

- Of those who had data collected, about a fourth of these households used records over half the time (defined as a record user).

- Independent Variable of Interest
Record Use Hypothesis

- Heaping is a function of both record use and natural prices.
- When natural prices align with typically heaped values, record use does not diminish the incidence of heaping.
- Use different expenditure categories to test the hypothesis that record users round less than non-record users.
Rounding Behavior

- Rounding behavior is not correlated with based on CE data:
  - Age
  - Sex
  - Education
  - Race

- Correlation matrix reveals absolute correlations at all less than 3%.

- Unsurprisingly, the Logistic Regression showed that none of the demographics significantly predicted roundedness.
Mann-Whitney U Test

- Non-parametric test for record use because of the non-normal underlying distributions.
- Allows us to test the hypothesis that the probabilities of randomly selecting a value from two independent non-normal distributions are equal.
- Rank-Sum procedure on two expenditure types on a fixed order of magnitude value domain.
Mann-Whitney U Test

- Record use appears to be generally useful for smaller, large price-variance goods and services that aren’t purchased on a repeatable basis.

- The following expenditure types were selected to exemplify the general behavior and to present this juxtaposition.
  - Clothing and Accessories
  - Subscriptions
Clothing and Accessories on Value Domain [0,99]
Two-sample Mann-Whitney U test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>records</th>
<th>obs</th>
<th>rank sum</th>
<th>expected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>60178</td>
<td>58345.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>20022</td>
<td>21854.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>combined</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>80200</td>
<td>80200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ H_0: \text{Pr(\text{rounded value(records=0)}) = Pr(\text{rounded value(records=1)})} \]

\[ z = 2.512 \]

\[ P \text{ value} = 0.0120 \]

The use of records **dramatically decreased** incidence of identified rounded values. Natural prices do not typically fall on highly divisible values in this expenditure category so the **effect of records is expected to be greater.**
Subscriptions on Value Domain [0,99]
Two-sample Mann-Whitney U test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>records</th>
<th>obs</th>
<th>rank sum</th>
<th>expected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>195798.5</td>
<td>195662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>64482.5</td>
<td>64619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>combined</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>260281</td>
<td>260281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ H_0: \Pr(\text{rounded value}(\text{records}=0)) = \Pr(\text{rounded value}(\text{records}=1)) \]

\[ z = 0.110 \]

**P value = 0.9123**

The use of records **did not decrease** incidence of identified rounded values. Natural prices typically fall on highly divisible values in this expenditure category so the use of records is expected to be ineffectual.
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Conclusions

- Record use is helpful in improving data quality by reducing the coarseness of data for certain expenditure types.

- Recommendation is to repeat the analysis for every record type on a regular basis to evaluate any changes in consumer preferences or natural prices that may influence the effectiveness of records in a particular expenditure group.

- Spend resources targeting expenditure categories with record use incentives where you know record use makes a difference.
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