



An Overview of the State-Level Weighting Procedure for the Consumer Expenditure Survey

Susan King and Taylor J. Wilson

December 2017

Consumer Expenditure Surveys Program

Introduction

This document presents an overview of the process used to create state-level weights for the Consumer Expenditure Survey (CE) public-use microdata. The state-level weighting procedure modifies the base weight of a sampled household and the constraints in the calibration algorithm. State-level weights can only be provided for states with a sufficient sample size and representative primary sampling units (PSUs). The goal of providing state-level weights is to support state-level data analysis by public-use microdata users.

Background

The CE survey is a nationally representative household survey that collects expenditure data from a sample of households in the U.S. The survey program produces expenditure estimates using the data collected from those households. The CE sample design is a two-step process in which a random sample of geographic areas is selected from the U.S., and a then random sample of households is selected inside those selected areas. The geographic areas are small clusters of counties called PSUs, and the process is designed to produce unbiased expenditure estimates at the national, U.S. Census Region and U.S. Census Division level but not at the state level.

The U.S. Census Bureau partitions the U.S. into four geographic regions (Northeast, Midwest, South, and West). Each region has two Divisions with the exception of the South which has three Divisions, and a random sample of PSUs drawn from each Division. Of note, some states do not have any PSUs in the sample. Prior to 2017, national-level weights were calibrated to Census Region population totals. Beginning in 2017, the national weights are calibrated to Census Division population totals.

Since the Census Divisions are subsets of Census Regions which are a subset of the nation, expenditure estimates at higher geographic levels are statistically valid. However, expenditure estimates at lower geographic levels are not statistically valid. This gap is filled at the state level by calculating base weights from sample in the existing PSUs in a state and calibrating to state population totals. The state-level

weights are statistically valid only for state-level estimates and are not statistically valid for lower geographic areas, such as counties within a given state.

The state-level weights are computed in a three-step process that starts with *base weights*, which are the number of households in a state's population that a household in the sample represents. It is typically around 18,000 which means that one out of every 18,000 households in a state is selected for the survey. Then the base weights are increased by multiplying them by a nonresponse adjustment factor to account for households that were selected for the survey but did not participate in it. And finally, the weights are multiplied by a calibration adjustment factor to make the weights of all the respondent households in the state's sample add up to the state's true population. The final weights are typically around 24,000, which means that approximately one out of every 24,000 households in a state participates in the survey.

Calculating the State-level Base Weight

Both the national-level and state-level base weights are calculated using a probability of selection and the "Within PSU Sampling Interval." The two procedures differ in the calculation of the probability of selection. For state-level estimates, U.S. Census tracts replace PSUs for determining the probability of selection. Census tracts are small contiguous areas within a county or county equivalent that are relatively permanent from Census to Census. Tracts are designed to have approximately 4,000 people but they can range from 1,200 to 8,000 people. Census tracts vary in geographical size, depending on population density, and range from a few blocks in densely populated areas to hundreds of square miles in sparsely populated areas.

Census tracts for a state are stratified using the same algorithm for stratifying PSUs. For state estimates, the number of clusters is set to five, corresponding to the number of income quintiles. Only two clustering variables are used for state estimates: median household property value and median household income, which correlate with expenditures and are calculated for each Census tract from five-year American Community Survey's (ACS) estimates. The census tracts, like the PSUs in the national estimate, within each cluster should be homogeneous with respect to median household property value and median income.

The data set with cluster group assignment is merged with the CE data set using the variables county and Census tract so that every CU on the CE data set has a cluster assignment. The total population of the Census tracts in each cluster is summed and the total population of the CE interviews (respondents and Type "A" nonrespondents) is also summed. Thus the probability of selection for each cluster is:

$$\text{Probability of Selection} = \frac{\text{Sum of CE's Tract Population in a Cluster}}{\text{Sum of Census Tract Population in a Cluster}}$$

Both the national-level and state-level base weight equations use the same "Within PSU Sampling Interval." The national sample of 12,000 addresses is allocated to PSUs proportional to the number of people that the PSU represents. The "Within PSU Sampling Interval" is the number of addresses on the sampling frame in the PSU divided by the number of sample addresses allocated to the PSU and is calculated by the U.S. Census Bureau. In other words, if all of the addresses were ordered, the interval

between selected households is the “Within PSU Sampling Interval.” Thus the state-level base weight equation is:

$$\text{State Base Weight} = \frac{1}{\text{Probability of selection}} \times (\text{Within PSU Sampling Interval})$$

Using the Weighting Control Factor

The weighting control factor adjust for subsampling in the field, which occurs when the data collector visits a particular address and discovers multiple housing units where only one housing unit was expected. The weighting control factor is generally 1.0 and the new weight is:

$$\text{CONTWT} = \text{State Base Weight} \times \text{Weighting Control Factor}$$

Nonresponse Adjustment Process

The nonresponse adjustment factor adjusts for interviews that cannot be conducted in occupied housing units due to a consumer’s refusal to participate in the survey or the inability to contact anyone at the housing unit despite repeated attempts.

For state estimates, the sample CUs for a quarter are partitioned into cells using the following variables: the American Community Survey’s (ACS) median household income for each CU’s Census tract, CU size, and number of contact attempts. There are three income classes (bottom 10 percent, middle 80 percent, and upper 10 percent), four contact attempt classes, and four consumer unit size classes. The procedure is run separately for each income class creating a 4x4 matrix (16 distinct cells) with the other two variables. Using the formula below, the nonresponse adjustment factor is calculated for each cell, but when there is an insufficient number of CUs in a cell, the factor is adjusted using a hierarchical cell collapsing procedure with the consumer unit size and number of contact attempt variables. Again, the nonresponse adjustment factor is calculated for each cell. The nonresponse adjustment is calculated for each cell using the following formula:

$$\text{NONINTAD}_k = \frac{\sum_{cu \in I_k} \text{CONTWT}_{cu} + \sum_{cu \in NI_k} \text{CONTWT}_{cu}}{\sum_{cu \in I_k} \text{CONTWT}_{cu}}$$

where,

NONINTAD = nonresponse adjustment

CONTWT = control factor state base weight

k = cell number

cu = consumer unit

I_k = set of interviewed consumer units in cell=k

N/k = set of noninterviewed consumer units in cell= k

Finally, this ratio is multiplied by the CONTWT to adjust for nonresponse and create the STAGE1WT.

$$\text{STAGE1WT} = \text{State Base Weight} \times \text{Weighting Control Factor} \times \text{NONINTAD}$$

Calibration Process

The final weight adjustment is calibration and uses only respondent interviews. STAGE1WT is calibrated quarterly to nine “known” population totals to account for frame undercoverage. CE uses Lagrange multipliers to select the weights that minimize the amount of change made to the STAGE1WTs so that the calibrated weights multiplied by the number of CU members in each of the demographic groups sum to the population totals. The nine population totals are from the Current Population Survey (CPS) and are: the total number of households in the state; the total number of homeownership households in the state and the total number of people in seven age categories.

There are infinitely many set of weights that sum to the nine “known” population totals. The algorithm sets limits on the amount the STAGE1WTs can change, between 0.5 and 4.0, and the final state weights are:

$$\text{STATE_WGT} = \text{State Base Weight} \times \text{Weighting Control Factor} \times \text{NONINTAD} \times \text{Calibration Factor}$$

Presently, the state-level weighting process is in an experimental phase. State-level weights are being made available to Consumer Expenditure Survey microdata users to gauge interest and usefulness. For further details, questions, or comments on the procedures or on the weights themselves, please contact the Division of Consumer Expenditure Surveys at CEXINFO@bls.gov. For more detail on CE’s national-level estimates, see this document’s reference section.

References

- [1] Neiman, D, King, S., Swanson, D., Ash, S., Enriquez, J., and Rosenbaum, J. (2015). Review of the 2010 Sample Redesign of the Consumer Expenditure Survey. Proceedings of the American Statistical Association, Section on Survey Methodology, 2522-2536.
- [2] King, S. (2017). Estimating State Expenditures for New Jersey, Florida, and California. <https://www.bls.gov/cex/ws17-estimating-state-expenditures-nj-fl-ca.pdf>
- [3] King, S. (2017). Calculating State Weights for the Consumer Expenditure Survey. Proceedings of the American Statistical Association.

- [4] Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor (2017). U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics Handbook of Methods, Consumer Expenditures and Income <https://www.bls.gov/opub/hom/cex/pdf/cex.pdf>
- [5] Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor (2017). Consumer Expenditure Public Use Microdata Documentation (2017). https://www.bls.gov/cex/pumd_doc.htm