

**Table 1. Pay relatives for major occupational groups in metropolitan areas, National Compensation Survey, 2005**

(Average pay for all occupations and for each occupational group shown in the Buffalo-Niagara Falls, NY metropolitan area = 100.)

Metropolitan Area <sup>1</sup>	All occupations	Management, business, and financial	Professional and related	Service	Sales and related	Office and administrative support	Construction and extraction	Installation, maintenance, and repair	Production	Transportation and material moving
Buffalo-Niagara Falls, NY .....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Amarillo, TX .....	87	89	94	78	87	89	79	87	85	88
Anchorage, AK .....	107	128	111	110	102	108	114	110	105	107
Atlanta, GA .....	101	120	108	88	96	106	95	108	90	106
Augusta-Aiken, GA-SC .....	95	103	109	83	81	100	77	103	93	88
Austin-San Marcos, TX .....	94	105	101	86	97	99	88	101	89	88
Birmingham, AL .....	92	104	99	92	93	97	78	92	84	90
Bloomington, IN .....	92	111	97	85	90	90	77	101	90	102
Boston-Worcester-Lawrence, MA-NH-ME-CT ..	109	127	116	104	108	117	110	114	99	108
Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito, TX .....	79	94	105	71	82	79	67	82	67	74
Charleston-North Charleston, SC .....	90	102	102	78	96	97	76	90	85	102
Charlotte-Gastonia-Rock Hill, NC-SC .....	94	106	100	90	81	99	89	101	91	98
Chicago-Gary-Kenosha, IL-IN-WI .....	106	122	115	97	103	112	115	114	97	99
Cincinnati-Hamilton, OH-KY-IN .....	97	111	107	93	103	103	81	101	93	95
Cleveland-Akron, OH .....	97	104	107	89	94	102	94	111	98	98
Columbus, OH .....	98	122	104	90	112	101	95	101	89	93
Corpus Christi, TX .....	85	99	99	76	88	87	73	82	80	83
Dallas-Fort Worth, TX .....	96	116	110	87	103	99	82	97	81	96
Dayton-Springfield, OH .....	95	114	102	88	95	93	99	108	99	91
Denver-Boulder-Greeley, CO .....	99	108	111	90	99	105	88	113	90	99
Detroit-Ann Arbor-Flint, MI .....	103	116	115	94	97	104	104	106	107	99
Elkhart-Goshen, IN .....	96	116	108	85	93	92	93	89	89	107
Fort Collins-Loveland, CO .....	93	103	102	83	94	97	91	105	92	98
Grand Rapids-Muskegon-Holland, MI .....	101	112	105	96	108	102	113	98	97	97
Great Falls, MT .....	87	104	91	85	86	83	116	106	91	82
Greensboro-Winston Salem-High Point, NC ....	97	117	100	90	99	101	89	100	92	99
Greenville-Spartanburg-Anderson, SC .....	94	113	99	87	87	99	75	90	94	95
Hartford, CT .....	109	121	117	111	108	113	110	110	98	106
Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC .....	95	103	104	84	93	101	77	92	91	101
Honolulu, HI .....	100	114	116	97	96	99	99	112	88	95
Houston-Galveston-Brazoria, TX .....	94	113	109	79	94	99	85	99	90	88
Huntsville, AL .....	93	112	104	84	91	97	90	92	88	89
Indianapolis, IN .....	97	106	106	92	92	99	89	104	96	95
Iowa City, IA .....	98	108	108	97	91	103	97	93	87	97
Johnstown, PA .....	87	103	96	84	85	85	85	101	80	79
Kansas City, MO-KS .....	94	108	99	87	92	101	89	103	95	93
Knoxville, TN .....	89	110	98	82	96	93	78	91	81	96
Lincoln, NE .....	87	105	94	83	78	88	79	103	81	86
Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County, CA .....	102	119	118	99	103	107	105	109	90	92
Louisville, KY-IN .....	97	116	106	92	90	98	98	101	89	89
Melbourne-Titusville-Palm Bay, FL .....	89	108	90	85	90	88	87	102	85	95
Memphis, TN-AR-MS .....	92	106	95	81	93	97	83	109	88	90

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 1. Pay relatives for major occupational groups in metropolitan areas, National Compensation Survey, 2005 — Continued

(Average pay for all occupations and for each occupational group shown in the Buffalo-Niagara Falls, NY metropolitan area = 100.)

Metropolitan Area <sup>1</sup>	All occupations	Management, business, and financial	Professional and related	Service	Sales and related	Office and administrative support	Construction and extraction	Installation, maintenance, and repair	Production	Transportation and material moving
Miami-Fort Lauderdale, FL .....	92	111	102	84	90	98	78	103	88	90
Milwaukee-Racine, WI .....	99	117	101	95	105	103	102	103	93	98
Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN-WI .....	106	121	111	109	104	107	102	112	103	104
Mobile, AL .....	91	118	100	79	98	94	90	95	85	90
New Orleans, LA .....	90	114	105	79	102	93	78	94	83	86
New York-Northern New Jersey- Long Island, NY-NJ-CT-PA .....	109	129	123	105	103	114	119	115	94	107
Norfolk-VA Beach-Newport News, VA-NC .....	90	105	102	85	90	95	81	98	80	88
Ocala, FL .....	88	104	93	83	89	92	73	91	80	94
Oklahoma City, OK .....	88	112	93	82	84	87	85	102	86	93
Orlando, FL .....	91	106	98	81	96	94	81	100	82	95
Philadelphia-Wilmington-Atlantic City, PA-NJ-DE-MD .....	104	125	118	98	95	108	99	109	96	102
Phoenix-Mesa, AZ .....	97	109	110	89	103	103	83	102	86	97
Pittsburgh, PA .....	94	107	102	89	89	99	90	96	87	94
Portland-Salem, OR-WA .....	99	116	102	102	101	101	110	106	87	93
Providence-Fall River-Warwick, RI-MA .....	106	132	119	106	100	108	96	97	95	101
Reading, PA .....	100	140	106	92	97	100	100	104	94	99
Reno, NV .....	94	106	102	91	96	95	85	108	86	94
Richland-Kennewick-Pasco, WA .....	99	114	104	102	102	99	98	93	86	92
Richmond-Petersburg, VA .....	96	113	105	89	93	99	81	104	87	100
Rochester, NY .....	96	111	105	96	89	97	84	87	89	96
Rockford, IL .....	98	101	107	92	96	93	104	106	96	98
Sacramento-Yolo, CA .....	104	123	115	106	104	105	92	117	95	106
Salinas, CA .....	110	131	132	107	114	105	111	120	93	91
San Antonio, TX .....	88	105	101	77	94	91	83	91	89	85
San Diego, CA .....	102	114	119	104	102	103	95	106	93	92
San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose, CA .....	114	128	127	113	108	122	114	115	99	106
Seattle-Tacoma-Bremerton, WA .....	104	110	108	111	104	108	105	108	102	100
Springfield, MA .....	95	121	121	99	103	113	102	110	105	69
Springfield, MO .....	87	99	99	79	85	88	79	98	87	86
St. Louis, MO-IL .....	97	113	104	88	93	100	109	103	93	106
Tallahassee, FL .....	85	87	95	82	88	89	84	87	82	99
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL .....	90	106	98	83	86	99	89	97	83	93
Visalia-Tulare-Porterville, CA .....	93	98	106	91	86	96	85	97	90	90
Washington-Baltimore, DC-MD-VA-WV .....	104	116	117	98	96	113	95	114	103	93
York, PA .....	94	119	107	90	90	96	87	102	84	94
Youngstown-Warren, OH .....	92	98	102	80	93	88	89	98	94	104

<sup>1</sup> A metropolitan area can be a Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) or Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area (CMSA) as defined by the Office of Management and Budget, 1994.