Estimating lost future earnings using the new worklife tables: a comment

SHIRLEY J. SMITH

George C. Alter and William E. Becker provide yet another valuable contribution to the ongoing dialog on estimates of lost earnings due to wrongful injury or death. The authors have written a computer program replicating the BLS worklife model, expanding it to manipulate earnings projections by age, and allowing selection of a discount rate to estimate the present value of those lost future earnings.

I have no reservations about the worklife component of their model, which is nearly identical to our own. They do use a different closure procedure (for persons age 75 and over) than was employed in the BLS 1977 estimates. Our closure procedure has now been modified for better internal consistency. Alter and Becker also redefine transition rates, making them conditional on survival. Mortality is factored into their model somewhat differently than it is in the BLS procedure. However this is a difference of form rather than substance, the results of the two techniques being virtually identical.

The authors' primary purpose in replicating the BLS model is to draw out some of its unpublished findings having to do with the age-by-age timing of forgone labor force involvement for persons of a known labor force status at the time of injury. Readers involved in liability claims have expressed considerable interest in this type of data. As I noted in an earlier issue of the Review, it is possible to derive population-based estimates of worklife during each age from the published tables. Alter and Becker reassert the need for estimates specific to the labor force status of the claimant.

The BLS model produces such estimates, but we have not found it feasible to publish them as part of the Bureau's worklife bulletin. (Status-specific estimates by sex, for 60 initial ages, would add at least 120 pages of tables to an already lengthy publication.) Nevertheless, we have taken note of the demand for such estimates.

Our next worklife publication is slated to include tables not only by sex, but also by race and education. This expansion of the output from 2 to 12 reference groups will require a cutback in the number of data items published for each group. We hope to be able to retain the estimates most useful for analysis of lost earnings. In addition, we hope to be able to provide on request some of the unpublished findings of the model, such as initial-status-specific worklife expectancies within each age, in some form certifiable for use in court.

The Alter and Becker model estimates lost earnings under the assumption of biannual payments over the claimant's natural lifetime. Doing so entails the use of very detailed worklife data (specifically, estimates of labor force entries and exits at each subsequent age, for a cohort of a given initial age and labor force status). We may also attempt to provide counts of these flows in the unpublished tables, to facilitate this type of computation.
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