# Shift work pay differentials and practices in manufacturing 

Most of the late-shift workers received premium pay for such schedules; however, shift differential pay has not increased as rapidly as basic day-shift wage levels

Sandra L. King and Harry B. Williams

About one-fourth of the production workers in metropolitan area factories worked on late shifts in the early 1980's-a proportion that has remained fairly stable over the past two decades. The incidence of late-shift work, however, varies greatly among manufacturing industries, ranging from less than 5 percent of the production work force in such labor intensive industries as apparel and wood furniture to approximately one-half in more capital intensive industries such as cotton and manmade textiles, cigarettes, and glass containers.

In 1984, at least nine-tenths of the late-shift workers in urban factories received premiums over the pay rates of their day-shift counterparts. Most commonly, the differential was a cents-per-hour addition to day-shift rates, averaging 23.2 cents for work on the second shift and 29.9 cents for work on the third shift. For those cases in which there were percentage differentials, the average was 7.3 percent of day rates for the second shift and 10.0 percent for the third. Among individual industries surveyed between May 1978 and October 1984, types and amounts of differentials varied widely. For second shifts, cents-per-hour differentials commonly averaged between 10 and 20 cents; percentage premiums, usually between 5 and 10 percent. Similar ranges for third shifts were 15 to 25 cents per hour and 5 to 10 percent. Differentials expressed in cents-per-hour have been

[^0]
## Glossary of shift terms

Fixed shift: An arrangement whereby employees remain on the same daily work schedule for long periods of time.
First shift (day): A work period in which half or more of the hours fall between 8 am and 4 pm .
Second shift (evening): A work period that is scheduled to end at or near midnight.
Third shift (night, graveyard, lobster): A work period that is scheduled to start at or near midnight.
Rotating shift: An arrangement whereby employees work successive weeks on day, evening, and night schedules.
Oscillating shift: An arrangement whereby employees alternate, usually weekly, between day and evening shifts, or between evening and night shifts, but do not make the full 24-hour cycle as under rotating shift arrangements.
Split shift: A daily work schedule which is divided into two or more parts; for example, work 7am to 11 am , off 11 am to 2 pm , and work 2 pm to 6 pm .
Swing shift: A relief or fourth shift used at periodic intervals in plants with rotating shifts, and operating 7 days a week. It may also be used to equalize day and night work among workers.
increased periodically but, generally, not as rapidly as basic hourly pay rates.

These observations are derived from data collected in the Bureau of Labor Statistics' area and industry wage survey
programs. Both surveys report occupational wage rates and the incidence of selected employee benefits and establishment practices, including late-shift provisions and practices.

Area wage surveys are conducted annually in a sample of 70 Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (SmsA's). Although the emphasis is on occupational pay and benefits found in individual areas, results of the 70 area surveys are combined, with appropriate weighting, to represent all SMSA's in the United States (excluding Alaska and Hawaii). ${ }^{1}$ As of July 1984, factories within scope of the wage survey program employed three-fifths of the Nation's 13 million manufacturing production workers. ${ }^{2}$

Twenty-five industry wage surveys are conducted in the manufacturing sector and 15 in nonmanufacturing, generally on a 3- or 5 -year cycle. ${ }^{3}$ The most recent industry surveys used in this analysis-which is limited to the manufacturing sector-span the period between October 1979 and October 1984 which included both upswings and downturns in the economy. They covered industries employing about onefifth of all manufacturing production workers in 1984.

## Late-shift operations

Late-shift operations in manufacturing are primarily a product of economic and technological developments associated with factory production. ${ }^{4}$ Increasing ratios of capital investment to labor costs provide an incentive for maximum use of plant and equipment. Furthermore, continuous process industries, like basic steel, require round-the-clock operations to avoid high start-up and shut-down costs. Lower rates charged by electric utilities for night usage may provide another incentive for customers to add shift work. Still another factor may be the need for temporary night workers to meet unanticipated or seasonal increases in the demand for a factory's output. ${ }^{5}$

Establishments operating at night may use either a second shift only or both second and third shifts to supplement their daytime hours. The second (evening) shift generally ends at or near midnight, while the third (night) shift begins at this time. Arrangement is thus commonly made for three 8 -hour shifts in a 24 -hour period. ${ }^{6}$ Individual employees may regularly work on the same shift or may alternate among shifts. The various possibilities are described in a glossary of shift terms. (See the box.)

## Incidence of late-shift work

Workers on late shifts accounted for 24.9 percent of the 6 million production and related workers employed in metropolitan area factories in $1984 .{ }^{7}$ (See table 1.) This compares with 22.8 percent of 7 million workers in 1959-60, the earliest period for which such data are available. ${ }^{8}$ In 1984, 17.7 percent of the factory production workers were on second shifts and 7.2 percent were on third shifts.
The incidence of late shifts among metropolitan areas varied, in part, because of differences in industry mix within individual localities. In the Miami area, for example, where

| Yaar of survey ${ }^{2}$ and shift schedule | Porcent on late shifte | Percent with shift difieremilal |  |  |  | Average stilit difierential |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Unlform <br> conts- <br> per- <br> hour$\|$ | Uniform percentage | Other ${ }^{3}$ | Uniform <br> cents- <br> per- <br> hour | Unitorm <br> percentage |
| 1959-60 <br> Second shift. | 16.4 | 15.5 | 10.5 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 8.8 | 7.8 |
| Third shift . | 6.4 | 6.1 | 4.6 | . 1 | 5 | 11.1 | 9.9 |
| 1964-65 <br> Second shift | 17.8 | 16.6 | 11.5 | 4.2 | 9 | 9.5 | 7.6 |
| Third shift . | 6.5 | 6.3 | 4.9 | . 9 | 5 | 12.0 | 9.9 |
| 1967-68 <br> Second shift . | 18.7 | 17.7 | 11.9 | 4.9 | 8 | 10.0 | 7.6 |
| Third shift | 7.3 | 7.1 | 5.2 | 1.2 | 7 | 12.8 | 9.9 |
| 1971-72 <br> Second shift . | 19.6 | 18.6 | 12.3 | 5.8 | . 5 | 12.3 | 7.3 |
| Third shitt . | 6.7 | 6.5 | 4.9 | 1.1 | . 5 | 16.1 | 9.9 |
| $1975$ <br> Second shift | 21.3 | 20.2 | 13.7 | 6.0 | . 5 | 13.5 | 7.1 |
| Third shift . | 7.6 | 7.4 | 5.6 | 1.4 | . 4 | 17.7 | 9.9 |
| $1977$ <br> Second shift | 19.2 | 18.0 | 11.5 | 6.0 | . 5 | 16.8 | 6.8 |
| Third shift . | 7.7 | 7.4 | 5.3 | 1.7 | . 4 | 21.6 | 9.7 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1980 \\ \text { Second shift } \end{gathered}$ | 20.1 | 18.8 | 11.8 | 6.7 | 4 | 19.8 | 6.9 |
| Third shift . . . | 8.0 | 7.7 | 5.4 | 1.7 | . 6 | 25.3 | 9.8 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1984 \\ \text { Second shift } \end{gathered}$ | 17.7 | 16.6 | 10.8 | 5.3 | 4 | 23.2 | 7.3 |
| Third shift . . | 7.2 | 6.9 | 5.1 | 1.2 | . 6 | 29.9 | 10.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (excluding those in Alaska and Hawaii), as defined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget.
${ }^{2}$ Data are based on bls wage surveys of 60 metropolitan areas in 1959-60; 80 areas in 1964-65; 85 metropolitan areas in 1967-68 and 1971-72; and 70 areas in 1975, 1977, 1980, and 1984. The results of these surveys were weighted to represent all Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, excluding those in Alaska and Hawaii, as defined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget in 1959, 1961, 1967, and 1974.
${ }^{3}$ Includes pay at regular rates for more hours than worked, a paid lunch period not provided day-shift workers, a flat sum per shift, and other provisions, otten provided in combination with a cents or percentage differential for hours actually worked.

NoTE: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal total. A tabulation providing distributions of cents-per-hour and percentage differentials is available from the Bureau of Labor Statistics.
there is a high share of apparel industries, the relatively low proportions of late-shift workers- 7.9 percent on second shifts and 2.5 percent on third shifts in 1984 -reflect the influence of the apparel industries, which do not typically operate late shifts. In Green Bay, however, where there is round-the-clock pulp and paper manufacturing, second shifts accounted for 25.3 percent of the manufacturing production workers and third shifts, for 15.3 percent. ${ }^{9}$

The incidence of late shifts is generally highest in industries that are capital intensive, including those having continuous process operations. (See examples from the Bureau's industry wage survey program shown in table 2.) The highest proportions of workers on late shifts are in cotton and manmade textile ( 51.5 percent), cigarette ( 51 percent), and glass container industries ( 50 percent) which are all capital intensive. Late shifts accounted for between 40 and 50 percent of the workers in a number of other industries, including those with continuous process operations (basic steel; pulp, paper, and paperboard; blended and prepared

Table 2. Percent of production and related workers employed on late shifts and percent paid shift differentials, selected manufacturing industries, 1973-84

flour; and wet corn milling), and those with relatively high ratios of capital investment to wages (other pressed or blown glass, and textile dyeing and finishing). Industrial chemicals, petroleum refining, and shipbuilding each employed about a third of their workers on late shifts. The lowest
incidence-less than 3 percent of the workers-was found in the labor intensive apparel, footwear, and furniture industries.
For most of the manufacturing industries having 30 percent or more of their workers on late shifts, the ratio of
second shift employment to third shift employment was less than 2 to 1 ．The ratio was generally much higher where relatively few workers were on late shifts．In the millwork and fabricated structural metal industries，for example，late shifts accounted for about 15 percent of the workers，and second shift workers outnumbered those on third shifts by at least 7 to 1 ．

Unless special circumstances dictate three shifts（such as increased product demand，favorable utility rates，contin－ uous processing），the economic advantages gained from adding a third shift are generally not as great as those pro－ vided by the addition of a second shift．For example，a second shift may reduce fixed overhead costs per unit of output by one－half，while second and third shifts combined

| Industry | New England |  | Middio Atlantic |  | Border States |  | Sovtheast |  | Soutimeat |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sacond shitt | Third shifit | Second shift | Thirds shilt | Second shifi | Third shith | Second sintit | Thind shint | Second shin | Third shift |
| Food and kindred products： Prepared meat products Flour and other grain mill products． Rice milling Blended and prepared flour Wet corn milling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14.4 | 2.6 | 12.9 | 2.5 | 16.8 | 0.4 | 18.6 | 8.7 | 11.1 | 1.2 |
|  | － | － | 16.7 | 12.3 | － | － | 26.8 | 11.9 | 16.0 | 9.9 |
|  | － | 二 | － | － | 二 | 二 | － | － | 19.7 29.3 | 16.6 9 |
|  | － | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | － | 29.3 | 9.9 |
| Textile mill products： Cotton and manmade textiles ${ }^{3}$ Wool textiles ${ }^{3}$ Women＇s hosiery ${ }^{3}$ Other hosiery ${ }^{3}$ Textile dyeing and finishing ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23.9 | 12.3 | 21.8 | 16.7 | － | － | 28.0 | 24.4 | 30.9 | 15.0 |
|  | 26.9 | 11.6 | － | － | － | － | 27.7 | 23.1 | － | － |
|  | － | － | $\overline{11.6}$ | 7 | － | － | 12.7 | 6.8 | － | － |
|  |  |  | 11.6 | 7.0 | － | － | 16.7 | 7.5 | － | － |
|  | 28.9 | 12.8 | 22.6 | 3.2 | － | － | 27.9 | 20.8 | － | － |
| Paper and allied products： Pulp，paper，and paperboard Corrugated and solid fiber boxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23.8 27.6 | 23.7 5.0 | 21.9 30.8 | 21.5 7.0 | 29.8 | $\overline{8.6}$ | 23.7 28.1 | 23.6 9.2 | 24.3 23.1 | 24.3 6.8 |
| Chemicals and allied products： Industrial chemicals | 13.6 | 10.8 | 19.3 | 17.2 | 19.1 | 15.7 | 22.8 | 19.5 | 11.6 | 10.9 |
| Stone，clay，and glass products： | － | － | 23.2 | 21.7 | 27.7 | 27.7 | 26.6 | 26.5 | 23.7 | 23.0 |
| Other pressed or blown glass． | － | － | 24.5 | 14.1 | 23.6 | 15.4 | － | － |  |  |
| Structural clay products ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ． | － | － | 11.8 | 2.9 | 13.5 | 5.1 | 8.2 | 6.3 | 7.6 | 2.1 |
| Brick and structural clay | － | － | 12.1 | 3.1 | 6.2 | 2.9 | 8.0 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 2.6 |
| Clay refractories．．．． | － | － | 17.4 | 3.6 |  |  | － | － | － | － |
| Primary metal industries： Iron and steel foundries | 16.3 | 5.5 | 22.7 | 11.0 | 31.2 | 20.0 | 23.5 | 6.8 | 26.0 | 14.2 |
| Fabricated metal products： Fabricated structural metal | 10.9 | － | 12.3 | 4.5 | 19.1 | ． 8 | 8.7 | － | 12.9 | 9 |
|  |  |  | Greal | Lakes | Midde | West | Mou | taln | Pac |  |
|  |  |  | Second shift | Third shift | Second shin | Third shift | Seeond shith | Third shint | Second shin］ | Third enifit |
| Food and kindred products： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prepared meat products． |  |  | 24.7 | 4.9 | 14.6 | 2.8 | 16.1 | 2.2 | 18.7 | 4.9 |
| Flour and other grain mill products． |  |  | 21.1 | 13.8 | 17.9 | 12.6 | 20.1 | 10.7 | 19.7 | 11.3 |
| Rice milling ．．．．．．．． |  |  | － | $\overline{-9}$ | － | $\overline{12.8}$ | － | － | － | － |
| Blended and prepared flour |  |  | 30.3 | 20.9 | 36.6 | 12.8 | － | － | － | － |
| Wet corn milling |  |  | 22.3 | 19.6 | 26.4 | 24.9 | － | － | － | － |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper and allied products：Pulp，paper，and paperboard ．．．．Corrugated and solid fiber boxes |  |  | 22.4 | 21.3 | $\overline{7}$ | $\overline{7}$ | － | － | 21.1 | 21.1 |
|  |  |  | 32.5 | 7.5 | 27.5 | 3.9 | － | － | 31.3 | 16.0 |
| Chemicals and allied products： Industrial chemicals |  |  | 20.0 | 16.5 | 20.6 | 20.4 | 22.5 | 16.3 | 15.1 | 14.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stone，clay，and glass products： Glass containers |  |  | 26.1 | 25.2 | － | － | － | － | 26.5 | 26.5 |
| Other pressed or blown glass． |  |  | 26.0 | 25.2 | － | － | － | － | － | $\bar{\square}$ |
| Structural clay products ${ }^{4}$ ． |  |  | 6.8 | 3.3 | 20.8 | 8.8 | － | － | 4.9 | 3.2 |
| Brick and structural clay |  |  | 5.0 | 3.2 | － | －112 | － | － | － | － |
| Clay refractories． |  |  | 10.7 | 5.2 | 24.7 | 11.2 | － | － | － | － |
| Primary metal industries： Iron and steel foundries |  |  | 28.5 | 12.5 | 20.4 | 6.3 | 31.1 | 7.3 | 21.0 | 8.0 |
| Fabricated metal products： |  |  | 18.1 | 4.5 | 15.1 | － | 16.2 | ． 7 | 13.0 | 1.6 |
| ${ }^{1}$ See table 2，column 2 for date of survey． <br> ${ }^{2}$ The regions are defined as follows：New England－Connecticut，Maine，Massachu－ setts，New Hampshire，Rhode Island，and Vermont；Middle Atlantic－New Jersey，New York，and Pennsylvania；Border States－Delaware，District of Columbia，Kentucky，Mary－ land，Virginia，and West Virginia；Southeast－Alabama，Florida，Georgia，Mississippi，North Carolina，South Carolina，and Tennessee；Southwest－Arkansas，Louisiana，Oklahoma， and Texas；Great Lakes－Illinois，Indiana，Michigan，Minnesota，Ohio，and Wisconsin； Middle West－lowa，Kansas，Missouri，Nebraska，North Dakota，and South Dakota；Moun－ |  |  |  |  | tain－Arizona，Colorado，Idaho，Montana，New Mexico．Utah，and Wyoming：and Pacific－ California，Nevada，Oregon，and Washington．Alaska and Hawaii were not included in the study． <br> ${ }^{3}$ No data were reported or data did not meet publication criteria for the following regions： Great Lakes，Middle West，Mountain，and Pacific． <br> ${ }^{4}$ Includes data for industries in addition to those shown separately． <br> Note：Dashes indicate no data or data that do not meet publication crteria． |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

may reduce these costs by two-thirds. Thus, the addition of the third shift results in incremental savings in overhead costs of only one-sixth. ${ }^{10}$

Fourteen of the industries listed in table 2 increased the proportion of production workers on late shifts between the survey dates shown; 7 had declines; and 10 had virtually no change (that is, a change of less than 1 percentage point). The largest proportionate increases were in meatpacking (from 17.1 to 23.2 percent), prepared meat products (from 18.5 to 22.2 percent), and hosiery other than women's (from 19.8 to 23.8 percent). Increases of at least 10 percent were also recorded for blended and prepared flour, flour and other grain mill products, wool textiles, and other pressed or blown glass. Shift work declines were most dramatic in motor vehicle parts (from 35.9 percent in 1973 to 29.1 percent in 1984) and in brick and structural clay (from 10.8 to 9.1 percent). Overall production worker employment also changed substantially in a number of these industries, but there was no consistent relationship between work force
changes and changes in the proportions of shift workers.
Regionally, the proportions of shift workers did not vary substantially for such industries as pulp, paper, and paperboard; chemicals; glass containers; and cotton and manmade textiles. (See table 3.) However, in a few of the industries analyzed, such as iron and steel foundries, the proportion of all late-shift workers in one region (Border States) of the country was more than double that in some of the other regions studied during the early 1980 's. Where comparisons were possible, the proportions of workers on late shifts were usually below industrywide levels in the New England, Middle Atlantic, and Southwest regions, while generally above those in the Border States, Southeast, and Great Lakes. Comparisons with industrywide proportions yielded no general pattern in the Middle West, Mountain, and Pacific regions.

Late-shift work is not confined to the manufacturing sector. For the economy as a whole, the Current Population Survey (a household survey conducted for the BLS by the

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Industry} \& \multirow{3}{*}{Survay date} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{|l}
\text { Industry } \\
\text { averape } \\
\text { hounty } \\
\text { eamings' }
\end{array}
\]} \& \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Second shift} \& \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Third shilt} \\
\hline \& \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{All
\(\left.\begin{gathered}\text { workers } \\ \text { dechiving } \\ \text { differential }\end{gathered} \right\rvert\,\)} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Cents-per-hour dilfieremial} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Pertentage dilfierential} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Cents-par-hour diffierential} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage dilfierential} \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \begin{array}{l}
\text { Percent } \\
\text { recelving }
\end{array} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline Averape \\
amaunt
\end{tabular} \& Percent recalving \& Average amount \& \& \begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline \(\begin{array}{l}\text { Percent } \\
\text { recalving }\end{array}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& Average amount \& Parcent recelving \& Avarage amount \\
\hline Most recent survay \({ }^{3}\) \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Food and kindred products: Meatpacking \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Prepared meat products. \& June/84 \& 7.61 \& 100 \& 74.2 \& 16.8 \& 0.1 \& 10.0 \& 100 \& 42.8
59.4 \& 18.3 \& - \& \\
\hline Flour and other grain mill products. \& Sept. 82 \& 8.59 \& 100 \& 98.9 \& 17.8 \& \& \& 100 \& 99.9 \& 25.0 \& - \& \\
\hline Rice milling. ...... \({ }^{\text {Blour }}\) \& ( \(\begin{aligned} \& \text { Sept./822 } \\ \& \text { Sept/82 }\end{aligned}\) \& 6.25
8.01
80.8 \& 100
100 \& 100.0
93.6 \& 10.7
16.5
1 \& 2.0 \& 10.0 \& 100
100 \& 100.0
950 \& 14.7
20.4 \& 42 \& 15.0 \\
\hline Wet corn milling . . . . \& Sept./82 \& 10.72 \& 100 \& 100.0 \& 18.1 \& 2.0 \& 10.0 \& 100 \& 100.0 \& 31.2 \& \& 15.0 \\
\hline Tobacco manufactures: Cigarettes \& June/81 \& 10.47 \& 100 \& 100.0 \& 18.1 \& - \& - \& 100 \& 100.0 \& 31.2 \& - \& - \\
\hline Textile mill products: \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Cotton and manmade textiles. \& Aug./80 \& 5.09 \& 100 \& 94.6 \& \& 1.8 \& 7.5 \& 100 \& \& 7.4 \& 0.5 \& 6.7 \\
\hline Wool textiles . .
Women's hosiery \& Aug/80 \& 4.91
4.70 \& 100
100 \& 99.3
69.6 \& 7.8
21.6 \& 3.7
30.4 \& 6.8
4.2 \& 100
100 \& 99.4
52.4 \& \begin{tabular}{r}
7.0 \\
\hline 9.0 \\
17.3
\end{tabular} \& \& 10.0
9.2 \\
\hline Women's hosiery
Other hosiery. \& Aug./81 \& 4.70
4.56 \& 100
100 \& 69.6
60.0 \& 21.6
10.9 \& 30.4
38.3 \& 4.2
6.1 \& 100
100 \& 52.4 \& 17.3
19.4 \& 47.6
39.3 \& 9.2

10.4 <br>
\hline Textile dyeing and finishing. \& Aug. 80 \& 5.23 \& 100 \& 98.2 \& 7.6 \& 0.9 \& 5.0 \& 100 \& 99.4 \& 8.0 \& - \& - <br>

\hline | Paper and allied products: |
| :--- |
| Pulp, paper, and paperboard products. Corrugated and solid fiber boxes. | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { July/82 } \\
& \text { May/81 }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
10.22 \\
7.09
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 100 \\
& 100
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
100.0 \\
98.0
\end{gathered}
$$
\] \& 20.0

14.1 \& $\overline{1.0}$ \& 8.3 \& 100
100 \& 100.0
99.7 \& 27.6
20.9 \& 0.3 \& 5.0 <br>
\hline Chemicals and allied products: industrial chemicals. \& May/81 \& 9.88 \& 100 \& 91.2 \& 29.5 \& 2.9 \& 6.0 \& 100 \& 86.0 \& 50.1 \& 4.5 \& 3.2 <br>
\hline Petroleum and coal products: Petroleum refining. . \& May/81 \& 11.58 \& 100 \& 98.7 \& 50.0 \& - \& - \& 100 \& 96.8 \& 98.3 \& 2.0 \& 10.0 <br>
\hline Stone, clay and glass products:
Glass containers \& May180 \& 7.66 \& 100 \& 96.4 \& 16.9 \& \& \& 100 \& 96.3 \& 20.9 \& \& <br>
\hline Other pressed or blown glass \& May/80 \& 6.40 \& 100 \& 96.7 \& 15.2 \& 2.9 \& 10.0 \& 100 \& 96.3 \& 19.3 \& \& 10.0 <br>
\hline Structural clay products ${ }^{\text {a }}$ \& Sept. 180 \& 5.86 \& 100 \& 92.3 \& 17.1 \& 6.6 \& 5.8 \& 100 \& 95.2 \& 18.5 \& 2.4 \& 7.5 <br>
\hline Brick and structural clay tile.
Clay refractories . . . . \& Sept./80 Sept./80 \& 5.07
7.96 \& 100
100 \& 87.0
100.0 \& 15.0
18.7 \& 10.9 \& ${ }^{6.4}$ \& 100
100 \& 82.4
100.0 \& 19.1
23.8 \& 11.8 \& 8.8 <br>
\hline Primary metal industries: \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Basic iron and steel Iron and steel foundries \& | Aug. 183 |
| :--- |
| Sept.79 | \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
11.87 \\
7.16
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 100 \\
& 100
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& ${ }^{99.6}$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 28.4 \\
& 18.2
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 25.8 \& 5.2 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 100 \\
& 100
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
100.0 \\
67.5
\end{gathered}
$$
\] \& 41.8

21.6 \& $\overline{28.9}$ \& 9.4 <br>
\hline Fabricated metal products: Fabricated structural metal \& Nov. 79 \& 6.35 \& 100 \& 89.0 \& 20.7 \& 7.1 \& 7.8 \& 100 \& 94.4 \& 28.8 \& 0.6 \& 5.0 <br>

\hline Transportation equipment: Motor vehicle parts and accossories Shipbuilding and repairing $\qquad$ \& $$
\text { May } 183
$$

Sept./81 \& 8.20
8.97 \& 100
100 \& 86.3
43.9 \& 18.7
30.7 \& 12.4
38.4 \& 6.8
7.9 \& 100
100 \& 85.7
40.0 \& 22.4
43.4 \& 12.5
34.4 \& 9.3
7.9 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Bureau of the Census) reports that 11 percent of all fulltime nonfarm wage and salary workers were on late shifts in May 1980. ${ }^{11}$ The proportion of workers on late shifts was higher in goods-producing ( 13 percent) than in service-producing ( 10 percent) industries. By broad occupational group, the range was from 3 percent for salesworkers to 29 percent for service workers-a group that frequently works late shifts and includes police officers, firefighters, and health and cleaning personnel.

## Shift premiums

Late-shift work, although often economically advantageous to employers, may adversely affect workers-bio-
logically, psychologically, and socially. Evening or night work, according to some authorities, may lead to a variety of physical problems and may impair normal family and social life. ${ }^{12}$

As a consequence, extra pay is generally provided for late-shift work. ${ }^{13}$ Payment of premiums to workers on late shifts can be traced at least to World War I, when the National War Labor Board awarded a 5 -percent shift bonus in several cases under its review. During the 1920's, a survey by the National Industrial Conference Board indicated that about 10 percent of the workers in 243 companies, largely in manufacturing, were on night shifts. The study found that premiums were rarely paid for rotating shift work, but were commonly found for fixed shifts. During the 1930's

Table 4. Continued-Percent of late shift production and related workers receiving differentials, and average differentials, selected manufacturing Industries, 1973-84

'Data relate to straight-time hourly earnings which exclude premium pay for overtime and for work on weekends, holidays, and late shifts.
${ }^{2}$ Includes workers receiving other than cents-per-hour or percentage differentials.
${ }^{3}$ See footnote 1, table 2.
${ }^{4}$ Includes data for industries in addition to those shown separately.
Note: Dashes indicate no data or data that do not meet publication criteria. A tabulation providing distributions of cents-per-hour and percentage differentials is available from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Because of rounding, sums of individual tems may not equal 100 .
and 1940's, the practice of paying premiums for fixed nightshift work expanded, and since World War II, the payment of late-shift premiums has become a widespread practice in American industry. ${ }^{14}$

In 1984, more than 90 percent of the workers on second and third shifts in urban manufacturing plants received premium pay for such schedules. Uniform cents-per-hour differentials, averaging 23.2 and 29.9 cents above day-shift rates, applied to two-thirds of the second-shift workers and to three-fourths of the third-shift workers, respectively. Similarly, uniform percentage differentials, averaging 7.3 percent and 10.0 percent of day rates, applied to one-third of the second-shift workers and nearly one-fifth of the thirdshift workers. Other types of differentials included pay at regular rates for more hours than worked (such as 8 hours' pay for 7.5 hours' work), paid lunch periods which were not provided to first-shift workers, or a flat sum per shift. These 'other differential'' arrangements, available to fewer than 1 percent of the workers, were commonly provided in combination with a cents-per-hour or percentage differential for hours actually worked.

More than 90 percent of the late-shift workers in the manufacturing industries surveyed separately by BLS during the October 1973-October 1984 period were paid shift differentials. (See table 2.) Industries in which the proportions paid shift differentials were substantially below 90 percent for second shifts included rice milling ( 36 percent of the workers), cotton and manmade textiles ( 20 percent), women's hosiery ( 35 percent), other hosiery ( 36 percent), and textile dyeing and finishing ( 41 percent). Industries in which the incidence of third-shift differentials fell substantially below 90 percent of the workers included rice milling ( 42 percent), women's hosiery ( 32 percent), and other hosiery (38 percent).

In part, these differences among the industries studied reflect the influence of collective bargaining. For 25 of the industries shown in table 2, it was possible to compare the percent of late-shift workers receiving shift premiums and
the percent of the industry's production workers employed in establishments with collective bargaining agreements covering a majority of these workers. A positive relation was found between an industry's incidence of premium pay for shift work and its degree of unionization; the coefficient of correlation was 0.87 .

Shift differential pay has not increased as rapidly as basic day-shift wage levels. ${ }^{15}$ For example, straight-time average hourly earnings of unskilled plant workers in metropolitan areas rose 92 percent from July 1975 to July 1984, and skilled maintenance worker averages rose 97 percent. In contrast, the average cents-per-hour shift differential advanced 72 percent for second-shift and 69 percent for thirdshift work.

Between 1975 and 1984, for workers receiving percentage differentials, the average premium rose 3 percentage points for second-shift and 1 percentage point for third-shift work. Percentage premiums automatically reflect increases in hourly pay rates, but cents-per-hour premiums (the principal type used) require adjustment to keep pace.

Shift differentials in the industries studied separately were usually paid as cents-per-hour additions to day-shift rates and typically averaged from 10 to 20 cents more for second shifts and from 15 to 25 cents more for third shifts. (See table 4.) When paid as a percentage of day-shift rates, differentials for second and third shifts averaged 5 to 10 percent and were most frequently found in industries such as women's hosiery, iron and steel foundries, brick and structural clay tile, shipbuilding, and motor vehicle parts. In most of the industries, the average cents-per-hour differential increased between the survey periods studied. In a few instances, growth in the average shift differential outpaced the rise in average hourly earnings. For example, between April 1976 and May 1981, the average cents-per-hour differential in petroleum refining increased from 21.2 to 50.0 cents for second shifts and from 43.4 to 98.3 cents for third shifts. ${ }^{16}$ Over the same period, average hourly earnings increased 57 percent, from $\$ 7.38$ to $\$ 11.58$.

[^1]${ }^{9}$ Summary data for individual areas surveyed in 1984 are in Area Wage Surveys: Selected Metropolitan Areas, 1984.
${ }^{10}$ This example is cited in F. P. Cook, Shift Work (London, Institute of Personnel Management, 1954), p. 8.
${ }^{11}$ The latest date for which this information is available. See Workers on Late Shifts, Summary 81-83 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1981).
${ }^{12}$ See Peter Finn, "The effects of shift work on the lives of employees," Monthly Labor Review, October 1981, pp. 31-35; and Graham L. Staines and Joseph H. Pleck, The Impact of Work Schedules on the Family (Ann Arbor, MI, Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, 1983).
${ }^{13}$ Unlike overtime premium provisions in union-management agreements, which may be set high enough to deter long workweeks, collectively bargained shift premiums are essentially designed as compensation for work at disagreeable hours; unions rarely seek penalty payments as deterrants to shift operations. See Sumner H. Slichter, James J. Healy, and E. Robert Livernash, The Impact of Collective Bargaining on Management (Washington, Brookings Institution, 1960), pp. 228-30. Further discussion of collective bargaining issues and shift work is found in John Zalusky, "'Shiftwork-A Complex of Problems," AFL-CIO American Federationist, May 1978, pp. 1-6.

Provisions for shift differentials appeared in 1,290 of 1,550 collective bargaining agreements covering 1,000 workers or more which were in effect on or after January 1, 1980. See Characteristics of Major Collective Bargaining Agreements, January 1, 1980, Bulletin 2095 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1981), pp. 50-52. (Analysis of collective bargaining agreements was discontinued in 1981.)
${ }^{14}$ For a brief history of shift premiums, see Milton Derber, '"The History of Basic Work Hours and Related Benefit Payments in the United States," in Studies Relating to Collective Bargaining Agreements and Practices Outside the Railroad Industry, Appendix Volume IV to the Report of the Presidential Railroad Commission (Washington, February 1962), pp. 288-90.
${ }^{15}$ Shift differential pay accounted for less than 1 percent of total compensation of production workers in manufacturing in 1977, the last year for which such data were published. See Employee Compensation in the Private Nonfarm Economy, 1977, Summary 80-5 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1980), p. 8.
${ }^{16}$ During the 1976 union contract negotiations between petroleum refiners and the Oil, Chemical and Atomic Workers' Union, shift premium pay was doubled for both evening and night shifts. For further details, see Current Wage Developments (Bureau of Labor Statistics, February 1977).

## ERRATUM

Because of a typographical error, a tabulation was duplicated in the Howard N Fullerton, Jr. article "The 1995 labor force: BLS' latest projections," November issue, p. 22, first column. The paragraph containing the correct tabulation appears below:

The labor force participation rates of a few age groups of women are projected to increase by more than 1 percent a year. The following tabulation shows the eight groups with the fastest participation growth projected for 1984-95:
Projected
growth
per year

| White women | 25-34 | 1.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White women | 35-44 | 1.3 |
| White women | 45-54 | 1.1 |
| Black women | 35-44 | 1.0 |
| Black women | 45-54 | . 9 |
| Black women | 25-34 | . 9 |
| Black women | 20-24 | . 8 |
|  | 18-19 | . 8 |


[^0]:    Sandra L. King is project director of Industry Wage Surveys in the Division of Occupational Pay and Employee Benefit Levels, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Harry B. Williams is a labor economist in the same division.

[^1]:    —_FOOTNOTES—___
    ${ }^{1}$ For summaries of findings of surveys conducted in 1984, see Area Wage Surveys: Selected Metropolitan Areas, 1984, Bulletin 3025-72 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1985); and Occupational Earnings in All Metropolitan Areas, July 1984, Summary 85-4 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1985).
    ${ }^{2}$ The surveys are restricted to establishments employing 50 workers or
    more in the following industry divisions: manufacturing; transportation,
    communications, electric, gas, and sanitary services; wholesale trade; retail
    trade; finance, insurance, and real estate; and selected services. (In the 13
    largest areas studied, the minimum establishment size is 100 workers in
    manufacturing; transportation, communications, electric, gas, and sanitary
    services; and retail trade.)
    ${ }^{3}$ For an example, see Industry Wage Survey: Meat Products, June 1984, Bulletin 2247 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1985).
    ${ }^{4}$ See Janice Neipert Hedges and Edward S. Sekscenski, "Workers on late shifts in a changing economy," Monthly Labor Review, September 1979, pp. 14-15.
    ${ }^{5}$ Outside manufacturing, round-the-clock demand for medical, protection, and other services require night work. For a detailed analysis, see Marc Maurice, Shiftwork, Economic Advantages and Social Costs (Geneva, International Labour Office, 1975). See also Murray F. Foss, "Changing utilization of fixed capital: an element in long-term growth," Monthly Labor Review, May 1985, pp. 3-8.
    ${ }^{6}$ An alternative approach is described in Herbert R. Northrup, James T. Wilson, and Karen M. Rose, "The Twelve Hour Shift in the Petroleum and Chemical Industries,'" Industrial and Labor Relations Review, April 1979, pp. 312-26.
    ${ }^{7}$ Information on shift work typically is obtained in a given metropolitan area once every 3 years, with the information being collected annually in a third of the areas. Data for 1984 actually relate to information collected in 1982, 1983, and 1984. For ease of reference, the survey period is labeled 1984.
    ${ }^{8}$ For an analysis of late-shift employment during the 1950 's, see Charles M. O'Connor, "Late-shift employment in manufacturing industries," Monthly Labor Review, November 1970, pp. 37-42.

