Research Summaries



White-collar salaries vary widely in the service industries

C. JOSEPH COOPER, JR.

Workers employed by firms providing engineering and research services typically earned more on average than their counterparts in other service industries in March 1987. This finding is based on the Bureau of Labor Statistics' first nationwide white-collar pay survey of all private service industries. (See table 1 for examples of pay relationships in selected occupations and service industries.) Because previous BLS white-collar pay surveys covered other sectors of the economy, this year's results cannot be directly compared with earlier survey data.¹ The March 1987 study yielded average salary information for workers in 26 occupations and 93 work levels, spanning a broad range of duties and responsibilities.

The March 1987 survey reflects changes to broaden coverage of the white-collar pay survey to more industries, including health care services, and to smaller establishments.² The service sector findings will be combined with updated information from establishments studied in 1986; the results will be used to make annual pay comparisons between Federal white-collar workers and their counterparts in private industry. Rotating industry coverage in different years allows BLS to obtain a broader scope of pay data within current budgetary limits.

In addition to the type of service that a firm performs, skill and experience also affect white-collar pay. (See table 2.) Among the professional jobs studied, salaries averaged \$19,588 a year for beginning accountants and \$26,355 for beginning engineers, while the averages for senior levels of both jobs (level V) were approximately \$50,000. For top level engineers (VIII) surveyed, salaries averaged \$78,049.³

In the clerical and technical areas, differing skill levels also contributed to the wide variations in pay. Salaries for four levels of general clerks ranged from \$10,338 a year for clerks who follow detailed procedures in performing simple and repetitive tasks (level I) to \$19,151 for those who use some knowledge and judgment to complete various nonroutine assignments (level IV). Pay for five levels of secretaries ranged from \$15,285 to \$29,014.

Computer operators are classified on the basis of responsibility for problem solving, variability of assignments, and scope of authority for corrective actions required by their equipment. Level I operators, whose work assignments consist of on-the-job training, averaged \$14,067 a year. The largest group surveyed, level II, averaged \$16,812; the highest publishable level (IV) averaged \$24,673.

Drafters averaged between \$12,450 at level I (trace or copy finished drawings) and \$31,634 at level V (work closely with designers preparing unusual, complex, or original designs).

Statistically reliable data on pay were obtained for three jobs in the nursing field. One of these, registered nurse, was the most numerous of the professional and administrative jobs studied. Over 80 percent of the nurses were at level II, which designates those who exercise considerable independence in difficult nursing situations. They averaged \$24,127 a year.

The other two jobs, nursing assistant and licensed practical nurse, are included among the survey's technical support occupations, which include computer operator, drafter, engineering technician, and photographer. Nursing assistants numbering 441,000 had average salaries from \$8,558 for level I to \$14,369 for level III, the highest level for which pay data met Bureau publication standards. Of the three levels of licensed practical nurses, level II incumbents accounted for most of the licensed practical nurses covered, and their salaries averaged \$16,487 a year.

Table 1. Average pay relatives by type of service and selected occupations, March 1987	
[All services = 100]	

Selected occupations	Engineering and research	Business	Health	Education	
Accountants III	107	105	98	96	
Accounting clerks II	110	102	99	94	
General clerks III	109	102	98	95	
Secretaries II	105	103	99	88	
Key entry operators I	115	95	103	99	
Computer operators II	107	102	97	93	
Computer programmers II	100	101	96	89	
Systems analysts II	103	101	95	91	

C. Joseph Cooper, Jr. is a labor economist in the Division of Occupational Pay and Employees Benefit Levels, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Table 2. Average salaries of professional, administrative, technical, and clerical workers in the service industries, by occupation and level, March 1987

Occupation and level	Number of employees ¹	annual salaries ²	Occupation and level	Number of employees ¹	Avera annu salarie
Accountants and auditors			Registered nurses IV	392	\$34,38
countants I	2,644	\$19,588	Technical support		
countants II	7,056	23,426			
countants III	7,129	29,791	Licensed practical nurses I	31,195	14;63
countants V	3,227	49 201	Licensed practical nurses II	165,049	10,48
	000	49,291	Licensed practical nurses in	2,001	10,00
ditors II	212	25,683	Nursing assistants I	148,366	8,55
ditors III	275	33,187	Nursing assistants II	269,803	10,8
ditors IV	112	40,817	Nursing assistants III	22,075	14,3
blic accountants I	14 233	21.006	Engineering technicians II	2 252	20.1
blic accountants I	14,443	23.044	Engineering technicians III	3 034	20,1
blic accountants III	15,563	27,537	Engineering technicians IV	3,428	30.0
lic accountants IV	6,849	33,989	Engineering technicians V	2,535	34,2
		1 1			
Attorneys		1 1	Dratters I	1,848	12,4
)rnevs II	186	41,370	Dratters II	4,223	10,0
prnevs III	458	53,100	Dratters III	0,002	20,7
meys IV	586	63,711	Drafters V	2.023	31.6
-		1 1		-10-0	0.,0
Buyers			Computer operators I	2,616	14,0
ers l	1 634	20 492	Computer operators II	12,000	16,8
rers II	2,739	25,373		6,790	21,0
vers III	845	33,309	Computer operators iv	2,191	24,6
rers IV	451	41,828	Photographers II	2.017	18.0
Because and evelope analysis			Photographers III	435	26,7
Programmers and systems analysis	E 005	00.000	Clerical		
nputer programmers I	3,365	20,960	Accounting plantic L	7 600	
nouter programmers III	15,102	29,435		7,092	14
nouter programmers IV	6.594	36.204	Accounting clerks III	20,392	16.7
nputer programmers V	1,849	43,292	Accounting clerks IV	5,276	20,0
tems analysts I	5 047	28 607	File derke I	10.114	
stems analysis II	15.081	35,386	File clerks II	6.470	13.1
tems analysts III	9,494	42,687		0,410	
tems analysts IV	4,066	50,658	Key entry operators I	24,832	12,4
tems analysts V	749	59,841	Key entry operators II	11,067	15,1
Personnel management			Messengers	5,916	11,3
analysts II	114	23,315	Personnel clerks/assistants	1.297	134
analysts III	182	27,433	Personnel clerks/assistants II	1,333	16.7
			Personnel clerks/assistants III	1,071	19,3
ectors of personnel I	416	35,167	Personnel clerks/assistants IV	320	23,1
ciors of personnel II	853	43,927	Purchasing clarks/assistants I	1 016	124
Engineers			Purchasing clerks/assistants I	1 279	16.5
Engineers			Purchasing clerks/assistants III	346	20.9
ineers I	7,321	26,355			l
(neers IF	14,392	30,151	Secretaries I	24,316	15,2
ineers III	21,903	35,//9	Secretaries II	31,190	18,3
incers IV	21,110	50 597	Secretaries M	20,195	20,6
ineers VI	10.573	59,422	Secretaries V	2 021	24,1
ineers VII	3,251	67,183		2,VE 1	20,0
ineers VIII	933	78,049	Typists I	6,108	13,0
Deviatored auropa			1 ypists II	2,503	15,1
negisterea nurses			General clerks I	5,385	10,3
istered nurses I	38,257	21,012	General clerks II	26,145	12,1
pistered nurses II	366,888	24,127	General clerks III	27,105	14,7
	20,402	31,216		5,595	19,1
	hliabaa ar ta saitta ta	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	a second and the fill data allowed and a second and the second se		
ccupational employment estimates relate to the total in all esta	blishments within	scope of the	under cost-of-living allowance clauses and incentive payments, ho	wever, are include	30.
anu nutio me number actually suiveveu.					

Also excluded are performance bonuses and lump-sum payments of the type negotiated in the auto and aerospace industries, as well as profit-sharing payments, attendance bonuses, Christmas or yearend bonuses, and other nonproduction bonuses. Pay increases—but not bonusesanalyst VI; job analyst I and IV; director of personnel III–V; chemist I–VIII; nursing assistant IV; civil engineering technician I–V; engineering technician I; computer operator V and VI; photographer I, IV, and V; file clerk III; personnel clerk/assistant IV; and stenographer I and II.

A DETAILED ANALYSIS of white-collar salaries and complete results of this year's survey are forthcoming in the bulletin, *National Survey of Professional, Administrative, Technical, and Clerical Pay, March 1987.* It will include salary distributions by occupational work level, and relative employment and salary levels by major service industries for 26 occupations.

.

-----FOOTNOTES------

¹ The white-collar survey (National Survey of Professional, Administrative, Technical, and Clerical Pay—PATC) is conducted by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, but survey occupations and coverage such as establishment size and the private industries to be included are determined by the President's Pay Agent—the Secretary of Labor and the Directors of the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of Personnel Management. This reflects the use of PATC findings in the pay setting process for Federal employees. The role of the PATC survey is described in George L. Stelluto's 'Federal pay comparability: facts to temper the debate,'' *Monthly Labor Review*, June 1979, pp. 18–28.

² See John D. Morton's "BLS prepares to broaden scope of its whitecollar pay survey," *Monthly Labor Review*, March 1987, pp. 3-7.

³ In the survey coding structure, the level designations among various occupations are not synonomous: for example, the first level of attorneys is comparable to the third level of engineers, accountants, and most other professional and administrative occupations. Classification of employees in the occupations and work levels surveyed is based on factors detailed in definitions which are available upon request.

How do demographic changes affect labor force participation of women?

DANIEL T. LICHTER AND JANICE A. COSTANZO

Since World War II, U.S. labor force participation rates among women have almost doubled, reaching about 55 percent in 1985.¹ Increases in labor force activity have been pervasive for all groups, especially married women and women with young children.

Changes in the demographic composition of the female population, particularly during the past decade or so, have had great potential for altering overall participation rates.² For example, William Johnson and Jonathan Skinner have reported that the rise in divorce rates between 1960 and 1980 may explain up to 17 percent of the rise in labor force participation rates of women during that period.³ Similarly, Ralph Smith has concluded that between 1971 and 1975, the changing demographic composition (for example, marital and family status changes) of women in the labor force accounted for 28 percent of the increase in their rates.⁴ Compositional changes are likely to be small over a short time period, however, and therefore should not be expected to greatly affect overall female labor force participation rates.

By examining data covering the 15-year period between 1970 and 1985, we provide evidence on the link between changes in demographic composition and labor force participation rates among women. Specifically, we ask: To what extent have changes in fertility rates, marital status, educational levels, and age structure accounted for growth in labor force participation rates of women since 1970?

Demographic composition

Fertility. The labor force participation rates among married women with children, particularly young children, have been steadily increasing since 1970. In 1985, nearly half of all women with children under age 18 were in the labor force, compared with less than 40 percent in 1970.⁵ Moreover, the declines in fertility rates, as well as declines in family size, increasing childlessness, and delayed childbearing have freed many women to pursue employment opportunities outside the home. Completed family size, for example, decreased from 2.4 children in 1970 to 1.7 in 1984 among white women, and from 3.1 to 2.2 children among blacks.⁶ Recent fertility declines are thus a potentially important demographic source of post-1970 increases in overall female labor force participation rates.

Marital status. Substantial variation exists by marital status, with married women exhibiting labor force participation rates much lower than those of the overall female population.⁷ Changes since 1970 in the marital status composition of the female population have provided a potentially significant demographic source of growth in female labor force participation. The incidence of divorce, for example, increased from about 14 per 1,000 married women in 1970 to nearly 22 per 1,000 in 1984.⁸ In addition, the proportion of never-married women has risen rapidly, especially among young adults, reflecting delayed marriage. For example, the median age at first marriage among women in the United States rose from 20.6 in 1970 to 22.8 in 1984.⁹

Education. The educational upgrading of the female population has been a major facet of social change in the United States. For women age 25 or over, median years of schooling increased from 12.1 to 12.6 years between 1970 and 1980, and the percent graduating from high school grew from 52.8 to 65.8.¹⁰ Changes in the educational composition of the female population must be included in any demographic or structural explanation of rising participation rates among the female population. Indeed, increasing educational attainment alters the relative importance of home work versus the labor market for many women. This is clearly revealed in female labor force participation rates that tend to accelerate with increasing educational attainment.

Age. Age composition is a major structural aspect of the labor force.¹¹ Market-related activities are clearly associated with age. The age profile of women in the labor force is curvilinear, reaching its nadir during the child-bearing years and after age 40 or so, when labor force exits begin to rise. One significant facet of labor force age structure can be

Daniel T. Lichter is an associate professor of sociology and faculty associate of the Population Issues Research Center, Pennsylvania State University; and Janice A. Costanzo is a demographic statistician with the Population Division, U.S. Bureau of Census.