Job growth in television: cable versus broadcast, 1958–99

As the television industry matured over the last 50 years, technological improvements, increased demand for video programming, and the easing of some regulations helped shift employment from broadcast television to cable and other pay television services.

Broadcast television made its public debut at the New York World’s Fair in 1939, dramatically changing the way people live, work, and spend their free time. A decade later, community antenna television, an early form of cable television, spread broadcast signals over rural Pennsylvania and Oregon. Currently, nearly all homes in the United States with televisions have access to some form of cable television, with approximately two-thirds of U.S. households subscribing to a local cable service.

For more than 40 years, employment in all areas of television program delivery has risen substantially. During the first half of this period, radio and television broadcasting accounted for most of the job gains, while during the second half, more of the growth occurred in cable and other pay television services. Throughout the period, changing legislation has greatly affected the way video service providers conduct their business, contributing to the trend toward more rapid growth in cable services. In addition to key regulatory and policy changes, growing consumer demand for television entertainment and related technological innovations have helped boost employment levels in all video-providing industries.

This article compares the employment history of cable and other pay television services with that of radio and television broadcasting; it also reviews some of the more significant regulatory and economic changes that have occurred over the period. The chronology is broken into three phases: The first phase (1958–72) covers the early years up to when the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) introduced new rules regarding cable television in March 1972. The second phase (1972–84) covers the subsequent period of rapid employment growth in the television industry up to when Congress enacted the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984. The third phase—during which employment growth slowed down considerably and additional regulatory and economic changes took place, covers the period from 1984 to 1999. The first part of the analysis focuses on employment during the study period, and the second half looks at some of the technological changes that have shaped the industry since its inception.

The television industry

According to the 1987 Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) system, the primary function of television broadcasting stations (SIC 4833) is “broadcasting visual programs by television to the public.” Cable and other pay television services (SIC 484), including satellite services,
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Table 1 Top 10 occupations in cable and other pay-TV and radio and television broadcasting, 1998

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Percent of industry total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Installers and repairers</td>
<td>40,520</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Customer service representatives</td>
<td>34,920</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Order clerks</td>
<td>6,130</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>First-line supervisors—product</td>
<td>5,230</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Dispatchers, except police and fire</td>
<td>5,170</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>All other sales and related</td>
<td>5,120</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>First line supervisors-administrative</td>
<td>5,090</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sales agents, advertising</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>General managers and executives</td>
<td>4,680</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Engineering and related technicians</td>
<td>4,030</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cable and other pay television (SIC 484)

Radio and television broadcasting (SIC 483)

What the workers do. The workforces of the two video distribution markets differ significantly. Table 1 shows the top 10 occupations in cable and other pay television services (SIC 484) and in radio and television broadcasting stations (SIC 483). Installers and repairers (21 percent) and customer service representatives (18 percent) stand out as the top two job categories in cable and pay television, together making up nearly two-fifths of employment in the industry. In radio and television broadcasting, however, employment is concentrated among announcers (19 percent), advertising sales agents (10 percent), and broadcast technicians (9 percent). That announcers occupy the top of this list most likely reflects the “radio” portion of the industry more than the “television” portion. Advertising sales agents and general managers are the only occupations to make both lists, but they are more heavily used in radio and television broadcasting. Within cable and other pay television, 6 of the top 10 job categories were sales related, customer service, or supervisory occupations. More “artistic” categories—such as announcers, actors, reporters, photographers, and writers—appear among the leading occupations in radio and television broadcasting.

What the workers earn. In 1999, nonsupervisory workers in the radio and television broadcasting industry earned, on average, $18.38 per hour. This was about 26 percent more than the average hourly earnings for cable and other pay television services, and 39 percent more than workers in the total private economy. However, when looking at average weekly earnings, which take the number of hours worked during the survey period into account, workers in radio and television broadcasting earned $656 per week, only about 9 percent more than workers in cable television. Nonsupervisory workers in cable television averaged 41.4 hours per week, or 5.7 hours more than workers in radio and television broadcasting.

Employment trends

The employment data used in this article are from the BLS Current Employment Statistics (CES) program, also known as the “establishment survey.” CES employment estimates for all communications (SIC 48) and for radio and television broadcasting (SIC 483) begin in 1958. Although the employment history for cable and other pay television services (SIC 484) does not begin until 1988, a reasonable proxy series can be constructed back to 1958, by subtracting telephone communications (SIC 481) and radio and television broadcasting (SIC 483) from total communications. The resulting composite series “pay television and other communications” (SIC 482,4,9), can be used as a rough estimate of the growth in cable and other pay television services. Using this proxy series, the following analysis compares employment in pay television and other communications over...
the last 40 years with that of television broadcasting over the same period.

Cable and other (nonbroadcast) distributors of television shows have made great strides in establishing themselves as major employers in video services. From 1958 through 1999, employment in pay television and other communications grew by an average annual rate nearly twice that of the total nonfarm economy. Radio and television broadcasting, by contrast, grew at a pace closer to that of the overall economy. (See tables 3 and 4.) In 1958, radio and television broadcasting employed nearly twice as many workers as pay television and other communications; by 1999, however, employment levels in the two industries were about the same.

While the 40-year trend indicates more rapid employment growth in pay television and other communications, it masks some interesting, more short-term trends. (See chart 1.) Over-the-air television stations had already been in existence for at least 12 years by 1958, and radio and television broadcasters—the principle distributors of broadcast signals—accounted for roughly 84,000 workers at the time. Over the ensuing 14 years, employment in radio and television broadcasting rose at a brisk pace, accounting for 79 percent of the job growth in the combined total radio and television services (SIC 483 and 482,4,9) from 1958 to 1972. Employment in pay television and other communications expanded at a much slower pace over the same period.

The trend changed significantly during the 1972–84 period, with the introduction of new FCC rules governing cable in 1972, as well as the advent of the satellite and surging consumer demand for video services. Employment in total radio and television services grew more rapidly than the overall economy, with pay television and other communications expanding twice as rapidly as radio and television broadcasting (9.1 percent versus 4.2 percent). A combined total of 191,000 jobs were added over the period—86,000 in radio and television broadcasting and 105,000 in pay television and other communications.

In the years following 1972, the FCC altered or abolished many of the rules regarding cable television, important deregulatory moves that at least partly explain the hiring surge in the industry over the 1972–84 period. In 1977, for example, many of the franchise standards were eliminated, and in 1978, a simple registration process replaced the former process of applying for a certificate of compliance, making it easier and less costly to operate a cable system. Then, in July 1980, the FCC repealed rules that had limited a cable system’s right to import distant signals and that required exclusive or nonduplicative programming by local cable operators. In 1983, the FCC eliminated its rule requiring cable television operators to file financial information. These deregulatory changes helped free cable systems from restrictions put in place in the 1960s and early 1970s.

In October 1984, Congress formally amended the Communications Act of 1934 by enacting the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984. In some important ways, 1984 marks a turning point for employment growth in the television industry. Following that year, employment peaked in 1985 for both radio and television broadcasting and pay television and other communications. Through 1999, annual job growth decelerated to less than 1 percent in radio and television broadcasting. Losses accrued in pay television from 1986 to 1988, and employment did not fully recover until 1994, when growth began to accelerate once again. The period from the late 1980s through the early 1990s was one of consolidation for cable television. Many of the new alternatives to cable (such as satellite systems) did not fare well in the mid-1980s. In addition, increased video rentals had a negative effect on the cable industry. Home satellite dishes, complete with expanded channel capacity, started to make an impact in the early 1990s, and this development helped offset some of the drags on employment in pay television and other communications.

The revision of the SIC system in 1987 paved the way for
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Employment in the television and radio communications industry, selected years, 1958–99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Industry</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total television and radio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio and television broadcasting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay television &amp; other communications</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Employment change in the television and radio communications industry, selected periods, 1958–99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total nonfarm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total television and radio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio and television broadcasting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay television &amp; other communications</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BLS to begin publishing employment data specifically for cable and other pay television services. (The composite industry “pay television and other communications” used in this study includes telegraph and other communications, such as radar and satellite tracking, and communications services, not elsewhere classified.) In early 1988, employment in cable and other pay television services (SIC 484) about equaled that of television broadcasting stations (SIC 4833); by the end of the year, cable employment exceeded that of television broadcasters. The gap continued to widen over the next decade, with employment in cable and other pay television services growing by an average of 5.6 percent per year. In contrast, television broadcasting stations grew by only 1.7 percent annually over the same period. (See chart 2.)

In summary, from 1958 through 1972, the maturing radio and television broadcasting industry added jobs more rapidly than cable and other pay television services. Over the next 12 years, both industries grew much faster, with pay television (9.1 percent) outpacing radio and television broadcasting (4.2 percent). After 1984, the employment trends in both series slowed until the early 1990s, when they began to accelerate after 1992, especially in pay television and other communications. From 1988 forward, cable and other pay television services (SIC 4841) maintained relatively steady annual growth, as its employment level surpassed and pulled away from that of television broadcasting. In 8 of the last 11 years, employment in cable and other pay television services has grown by 4 percent or more. By 1999, there were 52 percent more workers in cable and other pay television services than in television broadcasting stations.

The growth of cable television

In addition to rapid employment growth, other statistics document growth in the cable television industry. By 1999, two-thirds of households with televisions subscribed to cable services. The growth of cable subscribers mirrors that of the industry’s employment until the mid-1980s. While employment stagnated until after the 1990–91 recession, the number of subscribers continued to expand, with more than 65 million households subscribing to cable television in 1999.18 (See table 5.)

Cable systems, which provide service in a given geographic area and generally serve 50,000 or more subscribers, grew more rapidly over the period than television broadcasting stations, cable’s main competition. In 1958, there were approximately equal numbers of television broadcasting stations and cable systems. Since then, annual growth for television stations averaged only 2.8 percent through 1999, compared with 7.7 percent for cable systems. (See chart 3.) The growth of
Chart 1. Employment in radio and television broadcasting versus cable and other pay television services, 1958–99

[Graph showing employment trends in radio and television broadcasting and cable and other pay television services from 1958 to 1999.]

Chart 2. Employment in cable and other pay television versus broadcast television, 1988–99

[Graph showing employment trends in cable and other pay television and broadcast television from 1988 to 1999.]
cable systems accelerated during the 1980s, but it leveled off in the 1990s, due mainly to a wave of consolidation in the industry.20

Although closely related, it is the greater number of channel choices with better reception that sets the cable market apart from regular television broadcasting. Today, cable operators provide video programming to their customers using satellite earth stations or coaxial cable or fiber optics as the main lines for transmitting television signals. This advanced technology did not exist during the early years of television and prevented rapid expansion in the number of video programs offered by cable distributors.

The early years of television

Six television broadcasting stations were in operation in 1946,21 and the earliest cable systems were built during the following 4 years. These latter systems were constructed to serve homes on the “fringe” of the broadcast area, those who otherwise would not be able to receive clear signals from the television station. Cable distribution complicated the broadcast area, allowing them to receive broadcast signals.22

At the time, cable television was a very labor-intensive business, and despite high demand, it was difficult to find enough capital to launch a new cable enterprise. Another obstacle faced by the cable pioneers was obtaining permission to use public rights-of-way and utility poles.23 Cable television was a simple application of available technology in the early years, and operators were capable of carrying only a few channels, because of the primitive transmission technology involved and the relatively sparse number of broadcast signals to retransmit.24

Cable television went largely unregulated until the mid-1960s. In their book on the industry, Patrick R. Parsons and Robert M. Frieden identify several historical phases of cable television within the period from 1947 to 1972. During the initial 5 years of this era, the first modern systems began to install wire-based television. After that, many family-owned, "Mom-'n-Pop" operations sprouted up until about 1964. Finally, during the 8 years ending in 1972, the FCC began instituting rules regulating cable television.24 Nevertheless, cable television continued to expand, but not without growing pains.

Regulation in the industry, 1940–72. By 1940, the FCC had tested on-air television stations and authorized limited commercial television operation.26 Regulation of television occurred because the broadcast spectrum was a publicly owned, but limited resource.27 From 1948 to 1952, the FCC placed a 4-year freeze on awarding licenses for new television broadcasting stations. The airwaves were becoming congested, and the FCC needed more time to sort out issues on standards.28 Meanwhile, largely due to the freeze, demand for cable television services increased in areas not yet served by any form of television, and cable systems responded by forwarding broadcast signals to these additional communities.

In 1958, the FCC took the position that it had no jurisdiction over cable television, because the cable operator simply provided a piece of equipment and did not carry a signal.29 As cable grew, however, local television stations regarded the importation of distant signals as a competitive threat. Because cable operators received broadcast signals essentially free of charge, additional stations in a viewing area could fragment local advertising dollars and drive the local broadcaster off the air.30 Heavy lobbying ensued on both sides.

A year later, the FCC launched its first study on how the cable television industry affected the television broadcasting market, but nothing was found that could be used to restrict the entry or continuation of cable systems.31 By the mid-1960s, however, the FCC had become the regulatory authority over cable television. In 1962, the Commission ruled that cable operators could use microwave systems to relay distant broadcast signals, with the provision that there be no adverse economic effects on broadcasters.31 In 1966, the FCC froze the expansion of all cable firms in the top 100 markets and stipulated that cable systems obtain the consent of any remote broadcast station before importing that channel and distributing it to viewers.33

In effect, cable television was regulated as a natural
monopoly: an entity that incurs significant economies of scale as output (the number of subscribers) increases. Local franchising authorities granted these monopolies on the basis that inefficiencies, such as redundant line duplication, would have arisen if more than one firm provided video programming.

Although the first formal FCC restrictions on cable were in place by the late 1960s, the cable industry had managed to evolve from a transmission service to an active player in the video program delivery market. More specifically, channel capacity had risen from 3 or 4 to about a dozen. Cable television was basically reborn, and as the financial health of the industry improved, larger businesses, and their capital, were increasingly attracted to cable. By the mid-1960s, television broadcasters themselves owned nearly 30 percent of all cable systems.

**Cable and satellite growth, 1972–84**

After several years of restricting cable firms from receiving and distributing long distance television broadcasting signals, in March 1972 the FCC issued new rules that eased some of the limitations and restrictions—the Cable Rules of 1972. Cable firms were granted permission to import distant signals into major markets, but they were still limited in terms of quantity and variety.

The early and more restrictive pay television rules limited cable operators’ ability to offer better products outside of broadcast channels. With the introduction of satellite technology in the industry, cable television gained the support of a communications network in the sky, ushering in a new era in video program delivery. The first use of satellites allowed for more long-range capture of remote broadcast signals. In 1975, Home Box Office (HBO) rented a satellite to distribute a boxing program to cable systems in Florida and Mississippi. The fusion of cable and satellite technology permanently changed the way television is viewed in the United States. Satellites allowed cable franchises to expand channel capacity and offer more programming alternatives. Similar to the earlier effect of cable television on the entire television market, the eventual role of satellites in the video programming service industry would change from a program delivery tool to a distribution competitor. New channels, ESPN and MTV for example, targeted special interest groups such as sports enthusiasts and teenagers. In short, cable became a more marketable service after satellites were introduced, and the growth of cable television accelerated.

The extensive cable satellite system also attracted more advertisers because it allowed for a larger audience base. Using satellite technology, for example, Ted Turner was able to expand his local television broadcasting station into a national cable network and thus sell advertising at more lucrative rates. In 1975, WTBS became the first satellite-delivered broadcast station and soon became known as the first “superstation.”

**Chart 3. Index of growth of cable systems and television broadcasting stations, 1958–99**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cable Systems</th>
<th>Television Broadcasting Stations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1958</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Today, superstation TBS has about 75 million subscribers and roughly 12,000 affiliates nationwide.50

Rising demand for television entertainment, marketing efforts, and technological innovations furthered the growth of cable television in the 1970s and early 1980s. HBO became the nation’s first pay television network and created the first television production originated by a cable company. Their parent company, Time Inc., invested in motion picture production to differentiate Home Box Office as a premium channel and to improve the quality and quantity of movies available on HBO.41 The Cable News Network (CNN) became the first all-news cable channel, when it began satellite service in 1980.42 More variety and better services meant more revenue for cable firms, which in turn meant more growth for the industry. With satellite technology firmly entrenched, the number of cable systems had grown to 6,200 by 1984. Cable networks, numbering only 4 in 1976, numbered 48 in 1984. (See table 5.)

**Deregulation and consolidation, 1984–99**

Key legal aspects of the cable television industry were clarified when Congress enacted the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984, which deregulated the industry further and reversed many of the restrictions from 1972. The purpose of the 1984 Cable Act was to streamline the expansion of cable systems and promote competition.43 After some consideration, the FCC concluded that less cable regulation was needed. Specifically, the Commission no longer regulated cable rates in markets where sufficient competition existed, but cross-ownership of a cable and broadcast system was disallowed.44 The FCC contended that as cable service grew, rates charged to customers would be checked by competition from developing video delivery alternatives, such as home satellites and videocassette rentals.

The 1980s were characterized by increased competition between television broadcasters and cable operators. Meanwhile, decreased viewing time for all forms of television further complicated the issue.45 Among other things, videocassette recorders (VCRs) reduced time spent watching cable and broadcast television—the number of VCRs in use increased from 15.5 million in 1984 to 170.3 million in 1998.46 In addition, new alternatives to cable and television broadcasting, such as home satellites, struggled to make their way into the video market.47 Despite the move toward other viewing options, basic cable networks still managed to gain ground relative to over-the-air television broadcasters.48 (See chart 4.)

In the 1990s, cable system ownership became more concentrated, due to the many mergers and acquisitions that took place during the period, and this activity tended to dampen growth, especially that of employment. Time Warner, for example, merged with Turner Broadcasting Systems in 1996.49 On the programming side, the Walt Disney Company acquired Capital Cities/ABC and Westinghouse bought CBS.50 In 1975, the top 10 cable companies supplied 40 percent of all cable television subscribers.51 According to a later source of industry concentration data, by 1997, the market share of the top 10 cable companies had doubled to 80 percent. (See table 6.) Also, in 1992, multiple cable system operators owned all or part of 15 of the 25 largest cable networks.52

In response to the accumulated market power of cable television operators, Congress enacted the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992. More restrictive measures were implemented in the following years and included rate regulation in the absence of effective competition, the prohibition of exclusive franchising, and must-carry rules, which obligated cable operators to carry all available local broadcast signals.53

**Technological advances.** While competition from other video viewing options served to slow growth, technological innovation continued to play a large role in the maturation and competitive status of the cable television industry. Fiber optics, a key breakthrough that took place during the 1980s, enabled faster and better quality cable connections. Further technical improvements, such as digital compression technology, allowed more system capacity,44 and numerous new cable channels, such as Disney, The Weather Channel, and Discovery, entered the market.55

With the proliferation of cable television channels, over-the-air television audiences became more fragmented, and advertising revenues increasingly were diverted to cable. “Narrowcasting” allowed advertisers to target specific niche audiences that come with unique cable channels.46 More than one-third of all television advertising dollars were directed toward cable audiences in 1997, compared with only 6 percent in 1984.57 New products—such as NFL football on cable, “at-home” shopping, video-on-demand, and even the coverage of the Gulf War by CNN—served to increase the popularity of cable among consumers as well.58 The number of cable subscriptions continued to grow despite hiccups in cable tele-

---

**Table 6**  Cable industry concentration ratios, 1990-97

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top company</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top 2 companies</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>47.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top 3 companies</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>55.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top 4 companies</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>62.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top 10 companies</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>79.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chart 5. Consumer Price Index (CPI) for cable television and for all urban consumers, annual averages, 1983–99

- **Index 1982/84 = 100**

vision prices, which since the early 1980s have risen more than twice as rapidly as the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers (CPI-U). (See chart 5.) Despite climbing programming costs—as cable networks began charging local systems for program content, whereas previously the networks had paid the local systems to get in their channel lineup—by the late 1980s, the revenue picture appeared to be quite rosy for the cable industry.69

Cable companies made use of their increased revenues by investing in plant and equipment, and in programming. From 1984 through 1992, the cable industry spent more than $15 billion on hardware.60 Similarly, cable systems’ programming expenditures rose more than 300 percent to $7.5 billion from 1984 to 1998.61 These investments improved the competitive position of cable relative to that of broadcasters.

Following the 1992 legislation, and as the U.S. economy began recovering from the 1990–91 recession, the trend in video delivery programming began to change once again. This time the move was from “cable” to “other” in the cable and other pay television industry. Like the first cable television customers who were out of reach from a strong broadcast television signal, direct-to-home satellite services initially served viewers who were not able to receive cable television services from an operator in their geographic area.

Similarly, the technological and market history tended to repeat itself as direct-to-home satellite service customers soon enjoyed better picture quality and more channels than cable or broadcasters offered. These new services also developed a technological advantage in the form of digital television service.62 Just as cable accompanied the development of television broadcasting, the same held for satellites with respect to cable television’s growth. In both cases, the older industry was at first helped by the new technology, only to later find itself in direct competition with the younger form of video distribution.

The Telecommunications Act of 1996. The television industry was further deregulated when Congress passed the Telecommunications Act of 1996, which was intended to provide a “pro-competitive national policy framework.”63 The act also sought to remove additional barriers to competitive entry in order to expedite competition with other multi-channel video programming deliverers.

Cable rates were deregulated on their extended basic tier, which included specialty channels such as ESPN, MTV, and TNT. The rates were no longer regulated if a telephone company, for example, offered cable service comparable to those of the competing cable system.64 Finally, the FCC was given the authority to suspend its rules on a short-term basis in order to promote new or improved technology or services.65 This opening to more competition and better technology proved to be a double-edged sword for cable companies in the 1990s. Direct Broadcast Satellite, for example, began to move into both cable and television broadcasting stations markets.

Information and entertainment. Industry classifications separating the delivery of information from that of entertainment became blurred in recent years, creating a new kind of video product, sometimes called infotainment. Firms began “bundling” their services—a single company might offer cable television, local and long-distance phone service, and Internet access, for example. These “full-service” telecommunications enterprises are expected to become increasingly prevalent, as technology continues to improve with the change from analog to digital distribution.66 Cable television providers expanded their services by offering quicker access to the Internet, using high-speed cable modems rather than regular telephone lines. Similarly, television broadcasting companies improved their strategic position by investing in cable networks, such as when the National Broadcasting Company and Microsoft began MSNBC, a 24-hour cable news network.67 In addition, the satellite market was attractive enough that AT&T purchased a 2.5-percent equity stake in DirecTV in 1996.68

Many cable firms reacted by offering new channels and better service as a direct result of new competition from satellite television providers. Cable was able to increase its programming services by enabling digital compression technology for the first time. The technological cycle had come full circle: Satellites or other pay television services were able to offer better picture quality and increased channel capacity, just as cable services had enjoyed an advantage over broadcast television in the early years of the industry.

EMPLOYMENT IN THE TELEVISION INDUSTRY has grown immensely since 1958, with radio and television broadcasting leading the way up to 1972, and pay television and other communications adding more jobs since then. The latter industry, however, currently still trails slightly in terms of the total number of workers. Looking at the more specific industry data available since 1988, job creation in cable and other pay television services has outpaced that of television broadcasting. Although the two industries had similar employment levels in 1988, cable had added 52 percent more jobs than broadcast television by 1999. Workers in cable and other pay television services earn less per hour than their broadcast television counterparts, but they also tend to work more hours per week. The occupational make-up of the two industries is quite different, with installers and repairers leading the list for pay television, while announcers make up the leading occupation in radio and television broadcasting.

For more than 40 years, the employment and market trends in broadcast and cable television have gone through unique phases, as both industries matured at different times. Cable television began as a rural-based supplement to broadcast television, but increased demand and technological innovations spurred tremendous growth in the industry. Legislation and regulation in the industry both checked and boosted cable television
expansion. The establishment of a national cable and satellite distribution network followed the relaxation of some of the more restrictive policies. Technical advantages enabled cable to eventually exercise a certain degree of market power over broadcast television in their respective geographic markets.

As cable systems improved their market positions, they responded by upgrading their infrastructure and improving their service levels, channel capacity, and reception quality. Strong job growth continued. Meanwhile, competition from telephone companies, utilities, wireless industries, and home satellites began to provide rivalry in the market for video program delivery, as these related industries crossed over or entered into pay television. Today, cable, broadcast, and other television services continue to both influence and adapt to the rapidly changing world of telecommunications. If technology keeps pace with demand, employment in the industry should continue to expand as well.69
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8 These data are from the BLS Occupational Employment Statistics (OES) survey, “a periodic mail survey of nonfarm establishments that collects occupational employment data on workers by industry;” see BLS Handbook of Methods, bulletin 2490 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, April 1997), ch. 3, pp. 32–35.
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