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1.0 Introduction

Research on survey data quality and response errors has generally been based on data from surveys of households and individuals. Response error, the difference between a respondent’s answer to a question and the true answer (Sudman and Bradburn, 1974), has become the subject of an extensive literature (e.g., Bradburn, 1983; Groves, 1989; Sudman and Bradburn, 1974), but relatively little work has addressed the problem in establishment surveys. Establishment surveys have human respondents, and thus are subject to many of the same types of response errors as surveys of households or individuals, but they differ from household surveys in two important respects: the type of data collected, and the respondent’s role in providing those data. Both type of data and respondent’s role are components of the survey task.

In this paper, we briefly examine the survey task in household and establishment surveys, and look at cognitive approaches to survey design and error reduction, especially Response Analysis Surveys (RAS). We present a framework for organizing establishment survey response errors according to their sources and component elements, and illustrate these errors with examples identified in the RAS studies.

2.0 The Task in Household and Establishment Surveys

Household and establishment surveys differ in the type of data requested and the nature of the respondent’s role in providing those data. In a household survey, the task is “to obtain information from a sample of respondents about their (or someone else’s) behavior and/or attitudes.” The respondent’s role is to provide that information; the interviewer’s, to obtain the information in the manner prescribed by the researcher” (Bradburn, 1983:291, emphasis added). While the respondent and the interviewer can both be sources of response errors, Bradburn considers their contribution to overall survey response error much less important than contributions of the task.

In establishment surveys, the task is to obtain information from a sample of responding establishments about some aspect of the organization. Since establishment surveys frequently use self-administered questionnaires rather than interviewer-administered questionnaires, the respondent’s role is to locate the source of the information and to provide it. The questionnaire functions as the researcher's means of collecting the data.

The cognitive information processing model (Tourangeau, 1984) is a useful way to view a survey respondent’s role in an interview. The interviewer asks a question, and the respondent must first understand what that question means (comprehension). The respondent then searches his or her memory for information with which to answer the question (retrieval), decides what information to relate and how to relate it (judgment), and transmits the response to the interviewer (communication). The wording of the question shapes the respondent's comprehension and influences the retrieval process (e.g., Schwarz and Hippler, 1991).

The response process is similar in an establishment survey conducted with a mail questionnaire. Frequently, the respondent must seek answers to questions from records or some external source (generically, an information system). Instead of an interviewer demonstrating the task to the respondent, the questionnaire must convey the task on its own. In this sense, the respondent mediates between the questionnaire and the information system (Edwards and Cantor, 1991). Like the interviewed household respondent, the establishment respondent must understand the question and decide what information is required to answer it (comprehension). The respondent must then search his or her memory to determine whether or not the information is available in the information system, and if so, where. If the data are available, the respondent must access those data (retrieval), possibly by communicating the data requirement to a third party. The respondent must decide what information to report (judgment) and compile that information. Finally, the respondent must present the information in the format requested on the data collection form or questionnaire (communication).

3.0 Cognitive Approaches to Survey Design and Error Reduction

In the past few years, increasing numbers of survey designers have incorporated a cognitive approach into the design or review of questionnaires. The cognitive design approach allows the researcher to understand a questionnaire from the perspective of the respondent, and thereby to identify and correct potential response errors that result from discrepancies between the researcher's concept of the survey task and the respondent's understanding of it (Freedman, 1988; Gower and Nargundkar, 1991). Cognitive techniques relevant to designing establishment surveys conducted by mail include focus groups, think-aloud interviews with in-depth probing, and detailed retrospective interviews. These techniques are powerful tools for revealing possible response errors, but they have limitations.

---

1 For purposes of this discussion, we refer to a household survey when the unit of analysis is an individual or a household.
They typically involve only a small number of participants, are frequently conducted in a laboratory setting, and are extremely labor-intensive and time-consuming to implement. Inferences made from the application of these techniques to a larger population must be made with caution.

A Response Analysis Survey (RAS) is another technique for evaluating questionnaires from the perspective of the respondent, an approach that complements the other procedures. It is essentially a respondent debriefing about a survey, a retrospective analysis conducted after a respondent has completed the main survey, and on a larger scale than that possible for pre-testing with cognitive methods. It uses a structured questionnaire and generates quantitative data about how respondents answered questions, about the records (i.e., the information system) available for answering those questions, and about the real burden imposed by a survey. A RAS may be administered to a stratified subsample of respondents to the initial survey, so the results can be generalized to the larger survey sample or to a sample selected for a particular characteristic or problem. It is especially useful in the context of a recurring survey, where findings can be used to improve the next data collection cycle, or to evaluate changes made in an earlier version (DeMaio, 1983).

4.0 BLS RAS Studies

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) uses the RAS as a tool to assess response errors in recurring and one-time establishment surveys. This paper reviews the results of five different RAS studies: the 1989 and 1990 Occupational Employment Statistics Wage-Pilot Surveys (OESWP), the Employee Turnover and Job Openings (ETJO) Pilot Survey, the Current Employment Statistics Non-Wage Cash Supplement (NWCS) Survey, and the 1991 Hours at Work Survey (HWS). All of the RAS studies were conducted as telephone interviews with a sample of respondents who had previously completed a regular survey. Most RAS participants were mail respondents to the regular surveys, but some were originally interviewed by phone as part of the nonresponse follow-up procedure. We briefly describe each of these surveys.

1989 and 1990 Occupational Employment Statistics Survey Wage Pilots. The Occupational Employment Statistics (OES) Survey is an ongoing BLS survey which collects data on employment in specific occupations by industry. The 1989 OES Wage Pilot investigated the feasibility of collecting wage information in addition to employment data. The OESWP questionnaire asked establishments to report the total number of workers in detailed occupational categories, and instructed them to distribute all workers in an occupation into seven wage ranges. The questionnaire contained definitions of the types of workers and wages that were to be included.

The 1989 RAS looked at records availability and respondent comprehension of concepts and instructions for the OESWP. With a sample of about 150, we uncovered a number of errors in the way wages were reported. We determined that some of these errors resulted from the wording and layout of instructions, and used these findings to modify the questionnaire layout for the 1990 survey. The RAS following the 1990 survey was conducted in four states, with a total of 221 completed interviews. It tested the improvements made as a result of the 1989 RAS and addressed the issues that were a problem in 1989, as well as additional aspects of data availability and respondent comprehension.

Current Employment Statistics Non-Wage Cash Supplement. The Current Employment Statistics (CES) Survey is an ongoing BLS data collection program that produces monthly reports of jobs and payrolls. The Non-Wage Cash Supplement (NWCS) was an addendum to the CES in 1989 that obtained data from employers on payments other than wages made to employees. We asked respondents to indicate whether their establishment made certain types of non-wage cash payments (e.g., bonuses), and to report both the dollar amounts of those payments and the total 1988 payrolls. We tested two questionnaire designs that obtained basically the same information but with different layouts.

The NWCS RAS sample was designed to focus on potential problem areas for future survey improvement. A total of 350 respondents answered questions that evaluated overall data quality, memory errors or misunderstanding, and the implications of the different form layouts.

Employee Turnover and Job Openings Pilot Survey. BLS developed the ETJO survey in response to a Congressional mandate to the Department of Labor to develop a methodology for measuring national labor shortages. The focus of the survey was to study the feasibility of measuring the number of job separations, new hires, wages of new hires, and job openings by major occupational group within an industry. Developmental work on this survey made heavy use of several cognitive techniques, including focus group analysis and pretesting with retrospective think-aloud protocols. The spring, 1991 RAS resulted in 420 completed interviews. Questions emphasized the respondents' adherence to instructions and definitions, sources of data used to complete the form, and response burden.

Hours at Work Survey. HWS is an ongoing national survey that obtains measures of productivity data. The survey asks responding establishments for the number of hours its production or nonsupervisory employees were paid the previous year, and the number of hours those people were actually at work (i.e., hours paid minus paid leave). While intended as a mail survey, BLS collects a substantial proportion of the data as nonresponse follow-up telephone interviews.

The purpose of the HWS RAS was to evaluate a recurring survey and to identify areas for improvement. This RAS resulted in 458 completed interviews, and examined data availability, respondent understanding of and conformity to survey procedures, and overall response burden. In addition, it specifically addressed the question of differences between mail and telephone respondents and the data provided by each group. Results of this RAS will be used, in conjunction with
other cognitive methods, to redesign the questionnaire and survey procedures.

5.0 Response Errors in Establishment Surveys

Response errors in establishment surveys conducted by mail can be associated with different aspects of the task, the respondent's role performance, or the information system. They may result from the way the data collection instrument measures the correct value, from the respondent reporting incorrect information, from a mismatch between data in an establishment's records and the task definition (Federal Committee on Statistical Methodology, 1988), or from other sources.

In an establishment survey, the unit of analysis is the establishment, but the respondent is a person with a specific role in that establishment's organization. Therefore, "respondent characteristics" include both attributes of the establishment, such as size and industry, and characteristics of the responding individual, such as position in the organization and familiarity with the information system.2 Characteristics of the establishment help to shape the information system. Larger firms have more complex organizational structures, more complex information needs, and therefore more complex data systems than smaller firms. Size of firm may also affect the number of people with knowledge of and access to data required for the questionnaire. Industry also plays a role. There is some evidence that manufacturing firms' record-keeping systems are better able than those of other firms to differentiate production workers from other workers (Goldenberg, 1993; Scott, 1983).

Characteristics of the information system may affect the respondent's ability to provide the correct information. Information must be present and available in a format compatible with the questionnaire. If it is not there, or will require extensive work to compile, it influences the respondent's perception of the survey task. The expected and actual amount of time required to complete the data collection form—that is, both the perceived and the real response burden—contribute to overall response rates and the quality of the data provided in the survey.

Finally, the "respondent" in an establishment survey may be more than one person, although researchers rarely obtain that information. Response errors may result if each participant has different knowledge of the subject matter or differential access to the information system. If questionnaire completion requires multiple respondents, the interaction of the group of respondents may affect the values reported (Federal Committee on Statistical Methodology, 1988; Fecso and Pafford, 1988).

Table 1 summarizes these errors as they apply to establishment surveys conducted by mail, and lists some of their components. We group response errors into those originating in the survey task, in the information system, and in the respondent. While the table provides a convenient organizing structure, we note that the response errors are not independent of each other. For example, an error that originates in the task, such as a question that does not produce accurate recall, is also a memory error when its source is viewed as originating in the respondent.

Table 1. Sources and Components of Establishment Survey Response Error

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Error</th>
<th>Components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Task            | • Overall presentation  
|                 | • Data collection instrument |
|                 | - Question/instructions wording |
|                 | - Question/instruction layout and formatting |
|                 | • Confidentiality and sensitivity |
|                 | • Mode of administration |
|                 | • Response burden |
| Information System | • Data content and structure |
|                  | • Access and data retrieval |
| Respondent      | • Memory |
|                 | • Use (or non-use) of information system |

6.0 Response Errors Identified in RAS Studies

In this section, we use the structure from Table 1 to guide a discussion of establishment survey response errors and their various components. We review the literature on each type of error, and then present findings from RAS studies that add to our understanding of that error.

6.1 Errors associated with the task

Task components include aspects of the data collection instrument, such as length and wording of questions, question order, and layout and placement. On a self-administered establishment survey questionnaire, which may look like a series or matrix of labeled spaces, "questions" include the placement and wording of instructions and definitions as well as the actual questions, since they are part of the information conveyed to the respondent. The topic of the survey may lead to response errors, if respondents consider establishment-related information sensitive or confidential. Response burden is also an issue because the task may involve a substantial amount of effort, and because it is imposed on top of other responsibilities. Mode of administration is presumed to be constant in a mail survey, but many establishment surveys use telephone follow-ups to mail nonrespondents. There may be mode effects that result, or totally separate sources of error, if the follow-up uses different data collection procedures.

6.1.1 Overall Presentation of the Task

The general appearance of a self-administered establishment survey may affect a person's initial reaction to it, and therefore the willingness to respond. If a person agrees to participate in the survey, his or her

---

2 Other demographic characteristics of the responding individual (e.g., age, education, gender) may be associated with various sources of error, either independently or through role characteristics such as organizational position. However, it appears that the demographic variables have not been studied in an establishment survey context.
first look at the task may affect data quality by influencing the amount of effort the person is willing to invest. Dillman (1978) notes that a "professional" appearance to a questionnaire enhances the importance of the survey to the respondent. He and others stress that the questionnaire should look as easy to complete as possible, with attention to language and structure, form design, color, type face, and avoidance of crowding text (Dillman, 1978; Dippo and Herrmann, 1991; Sudman and Bradburn, 1982). Cognitive investigations of two Canadian surveys, the Survey of Employment, Payrolls and Hours (SEPH) and the Census of the Construction Industry, showed that respondents initially found the questionnaires formidable and expected to invest considerable time and effort in completing them. Afterwards, the respondents reported that the form took less time than they expected. Repeat respondents on the SEPH had a very different, and more favorable, reaction to the questionnaire (Gower and Nargundkar, 1991).

None of the BLS RAS studies directly addressed overall presentation of the task. However, we have evidence from the ETJO survey that initial perception may have an effect on response. During the pretests, several respondents indicated that their first impression of the form was that it looked time-consuming. The survey package also affected perception. It consisted of a solicitation letter, an information sheet, a booklet with a detailed listing of occupations for a specific industry, and a questionnaire. During follow-up telephone calls, the interviewers received many comments indicating that the volume of information in the package made the survey task appear far worse than it really was—especially for small firms with little job turnover, where the owner or manager could accurately complete the questionnaire in a few minutes (BLS, 1991; Phipps et al., 1993).

6.1.2 Data Collection Instrument

The questionnaire or data collection form defines the task, through the content of the questions and the structure and layout of the form. The wording of the questions and instructions to respondents, their presentation on the page, and their sequence can all contribute to response errors. We measure response errors associated with the instrument by looking at the extent to which respondents follow directions and report data according to our definitions.

Question and Instruction Wording. It is the responsibility of a survey designer to ensure that each concept in the questionnaire or data collection instrument has a clear and unambiguous definition. If the survey designers start with ambiguously-defined concepts, respondents may report different data than what the researchers intended (Freedman, 1988).

Commonly-used procedures for specifying clear definitions to the respondent include providing a list of covered criteria or categories, and specifying a time or reference period. However, these procedures can themselves introduce response errors if inclusion criteria or reference periods are ambiguous. Goss et al. (1989) illustrate respondent difficulties with unclear reference periods and multiple reference periods.

Underlying the whole issue of question and instruction wording is the problem of language. Concepts, questions, and instructions must be described in words, which can have more than one meaning, and can be interpreted in different ways by different respondents (Dippo and Herrmann, 1991; Groves, 1989). Questions must convey both concepts and a frame of reference (Kalton and Schuman, 1982). Establishment surveys often require the use of technical language, but respondents may not understand key terms (e.g., Cox et al., 1989). Instructions must convey to respondents the actions they are to take in providing answers to questions. Shortcomings in any of these areas may result in misunderstandings or misinterpretations and lead to response errors.

Insights from RAS Studies. All of the BLS RAS studies looked at data quality, which we define operationally as respondent compliance with concepts and definitions. Overall, the studies have found data quality to be high. However, each study has at least a few examples of response errors because respondents misunderstood or did not comply with instructions.

We identified four types of problems in the RAS studies that derive from question and instruction wording: understanding concepts and terminology, adherence to definitions, use of correct reference periods, and incomplete instructions. These categories are not totally independent of each other. For example, a respondent whose understanding of a concept differs from that of the questionnaire designer may not report according to the specified definition.

One of the more interesting examples of misunderstanding a term comes from NWCS. Some respondents thought that "cash payments" meant payments in currency, rather than checks. Another example, from HWS, is of respondents who treated paid leave as the amount an employee was entitled to use rather than the amount actually taken.

The OESWP and HWS RAS studies both offer examples of nonadherence to definitions. Some respondents erroneously omitted overtime from wage payments or reported work hours. A number of HWS respondents also incorrectly included managers and executives in data they were reporting for production workers. While these errors may result from inadequate records, we have some evidence of misunderstanding instructions on the subject.

Question and Instruction Layout and Format. There is a large and growing body of evidence, most obtained from cognitive studies, suggesting that the format of a self-administered questionnaire can affect the data reported on the form (e.g., Gower and Dibbs, 1989; Gower and Nargundkar, 1991; Dillman et al., 1993). Apart from the general appearance of the form, the location of instructions relative to questions may contribute to their being noticed, read, or ignored; respondents tend not to refer to separate instructions.

3 A recent paper by Sanchez (1992) demonstrates that the layout of interviewer-administered questionnaires can also affect data quality.
unless they need them (Gower and Dibbs, 1989; Gower and Nargundkar, 1991). Pretest respondents to the U.S. Census of Construction Industries relied on section headings for instructions, which resulted in errors when details were not part of the question heading (DeMaio and Jenkins, 1991).

Another aspect of question placement is context. The position of a question in a questionnaire relative to other questions can influence the responses to questions, and therefore can introduce response errors, because of the cognitive activity aroused by prior questions. In an interview, prior questions can lead a respondent to interpret a subsequent question differently, so that the response is affected by the earlier question. If the questionnaire is self-administered, respondents can examine the entire form prior to answering any questions, so the presence of later questions may also create a context influencing responses to earlier questions (Sudman and Bradburn, 1982).

Since establishment surveys generally obtain factual data, is it reasonable to look for effects from the sequence of the questions? While the answer appears to be yes, the order effects may be a function of other question characteristics such as sensitivity. Cox et al. (1989) noted that some respondents were unwilling to report aggregate debts or cash holdings in a balance sheet, although these respondents had already provided dollar amounts of individual debts.

**Insights from RAS studies.** The OESWP surveys also show that respondents do not use separate sets of instructions unless they have to. An integral part of the OES is a booklet listing detailed occupations for the industry being surveyed, which participants are to consult to place their employees into occupations. Seventy percent of RAS respondents in 1989 and 67 percent in 1990 said they used the separate instructions. Of this group, 55 and 57 percent used them only when needed (Phipps, 1991).

The Nonwage Cash Supplement RAS tested the effect of question placement on respondent comprehension. This survey used two different questionnaire designs, a “short form” that requested payroll totals near the top, followed by questions on types of payments, and a “long form” that began with questions on types of payments, and requested totals below the types of payment questions. We found that 82 percent of respondents who received the short form, but only 72 percent of respondents who received the long form, provided the requested payroll totals. Many long form RAS respondents indicated that they had overlooked the items (Phipps, 1989).

The ETJO RAS also provides evidence of the importance of questionnaire layout for data content. Respondents were to include laid off employees in the category of job separations, unless those layoffs were temporary. They were also to include transfers as separations and new hires. The RAS shows that respondents were reasonably successful with the former activity, which we attribute to the presence of a column heading asking for "Number of Job Separations (exclude temporary layoffs)." They did less well with internal transfers, for which there was no reminder in the column heading (BLS, 1991).

The OESWP surveys gave us an opportunity to modify questionnaire formats and evaluate the effect of those changes through RAS studies. In the 1989 questionnaire, only 56 percent of respondents correctly excluded premium payments such as overtime from reported wage data. Instructions for what to include and exclude appeared in paragraph form and were relatively easy to overlook. We changed the instructions in the 1990 questionnaire to lists of what to include and what to exclude, and the percentage reporting correctly increased to 78. Further inquiry in the 1990 RAS suggests that records were more of a problem than respondents overlooking or misunderstanding the instructions (Phipps, 1991).

### 6.1.3 Confidentiality and Sensitivity

An establishment may have explicit or implicit policies concerning the information it reveals about itself (Edwards and Cantor, 1991), particularly if it considers that information confidential or of value to competitors. If respondents feel that answering questions about their establishment is somehow threatening, they may withhold important information. However, none of the RAS studies directly addressed the issue of confidentiality or sensitive information.

### 6.1.4 Mode of administration

Most of the research looking at mode effects in surveys has been conducted for household surveys, and has focused on personal versus telephone interviews. Mail surveys have only recently been included. Dillman (1991) reviewed mail and telephone surveys conducted in the 1980s and concluded that some differences exist between responses to questions asked using both modes. Bradburn (1983) suggests that we should expect mode effects for sensitive topics, and that anonymous methods such as mail questionnaires should obtain more accurate reports of behavior. However, these conclusions are based on household surveys of attitudes and behaviors and may not apply to establishment research that obtains factual data.

**Insights from RAS studies.** Mode effects are a concern in establishment surveys because standard survey practice often includes a telephone follow-up to mail survey nonrespondents. Only one of the RAS studies reported here conducted a systematic analysis of mode effects. ETJO contained an experiment in which we attempted to collect data from half the units in the smallest size group by mail and half by means of CATI. All establishments received a survey package, but the CATI group got a letter informing the firm that an interviewer would call in about a week to collect data over the phone. The intended experiment was confounded somewhat because 19 percent of the CATI-designated sample mailed in their questionnaires, and 44 percent of the mail-designated sample responded by telephone, mainly during follow-up (BLS, 1991).

The HWS RAS uncovered substantial differences between mail and CATI respondents in the information they reported and in the sources of data they used. We
also identified industry and employment structure differences between mail and CATI establishments (Goldenberg, 1993).

### 6.1.5 Response Burden

Response burden is a component of any survey task, but it takes on additional magnitude for establishment surveys. The chore of completing a questionnaire is imposed upon other work responsibilities, and is especially heavy for owners or managers of small firms. Survey designers must recognize that there is a real cost for establishment personnel to participate in surveys, especially if the participants are executives or senior managers (Gower and Nargundkar, 1991). The burden includes both number of questions, the effort required to research or manipulate data sources, and that involved in preparing information in the needed format. While it is often measured as the amount of time needed to complete a questionnaire, it can contribute to response errors through the information requested and the ease with which that information can be extracted from company records. A high response burden also means more opportunities for errors.

**Insights from RAS studies.** The NWCS RAS looked at response burden by asking respondents if they spent more time reading and understanding the directions for the survey or preparing the data. Sixty-two percent said the directions took more time, 21 percent said preparing data took longer, and 17 percent said they were about equal. When we probed to see whether particular items on this form took longer than others, we learned that compiling annual payroll totals and breaking out payrolls for production workers were something of a problem for respondents to report. While the non-wage cash payments caused some difficulty, it was less than that created by the need to separate production workers from all workers.

Another way to look at response burden is to consider the number of types of records a respondent must consult to complete a questionnaire. The 1990 OESWP RAS, the ETJO RAS, and HWS all asked respondents whether they had used any of these sources of information: their memory, personnel records, payroll records, or something else. Respondents could say yes or no to each source of data.

In the 1989 OESWP, three-fourths of respondents indicated that they used one source to prepare the questionnaire. This finding suggests that the addition of wage information to the OES may not have created much additional burden. The comparable figure for 1990 respondents was a much-lower 39 percent who used one source for the data. Only half of that group consulted payroll records as their source, while 46 percent (generally respondents from small establishments) cited memory as their sole information source. The difference between the two years may reflect different record-keeping practices in the service industries that were the subject of the 1990 OES as compared with the manufacturing industries in the 1989 survey.

Perhaps, the number of sources consulted is not an adequate measure of response burden. In the HWS, approximately two-thirds of respondents indicated that they consulted a single data source for hours paid or hours at work information. Of that group, just under three-fourths looked at payroll records, and the remainder cited memory as their only source of information. However, time to complete the survey was extremely high, suggesting that the content and structure of the information source plays a major role as well.

We might note that if a respondent needs to examine both payroll and personnel records, it increases the likelihood of involving more than one person in the response process. If the firm is a large one, payroll and personnel may be in two separate departments guided by different policies. Additional respondents usually bring additional sources of response error through different interpretations of questions and different knowledge of the subject matter.

### 6.2 Response Errors Associated with the Information System

The external records or information source from which the respondent obtains data for the questionnaire comprises another source of response errors. We call this source the information system, and it consists of the collection, processing, and quality control procedures an establishment invokes for the information it maintains (Edwards and Cantor, 1991). An information system can be any set of records, manual or automated. While use of external record sources is not truly unique to establishment surveys (e.g., Kominski, 1991), the need for accessing records is far more widespread in establishment surveys than in household surveys (Edwards and Cantor, 1991). Variables associated with the information system that may influence response errors include the content of data in the system, the way those data are structured or aggregated, and a user’s ability to access the system and retrieve data from it.

#### 6.2.1 Data Content and Structure

An establishment questionnaire solicits specific types of data. The most fundamental type of response error arises if the data requested on the questionnaire are not available at the establishment, because then the respondent cannot answer the question.

Time lag is another aspect of data content. While payroll records are as current as the last completed payroll, other information may not be updated quite as often. The more current the information in the system, the more accurate the retrieved data. Compiling recent information that has not yet entered the information system adds to the response burden and increases the potential for transcription errors.

At the same time, the way data are structured or organized has significant implications for an establishment survey. The questionnaire seeks data aggregated or broken out according to very specific rules, and respondents who are asked to report familiar information but in an unfamiliar way may make errors in doing so (Freedman, 1988).

Imposition of survey definitions on existing information systems creates numerous difficulties for data collection. When Cox et al. (1989) surveyed small
businesses about their finances, they found that for proprietorships, the proprietor's personal and business finances were not normally separated—that is, the business data were not readily available. They usually could be separated, but not easily. The same study pointed to inconsistent accounting practices across firms, which conflicted with the need to collect consistent financial data across sample units.

Another characteristic of the information system that relates to both data content and data structure is the extent to which the information system has been automated. In an era marked by the widespread use of personal computers, and at a time when businesses increasingly turn to service bureaus to handle their data requirements, it is hard to imagine a firm without access to automated records. However, the content and structure of an automated information system may be less amenable to manipulation by individual establishments than the contents of an equivalent manual system, especially if an establishment works within the confines of purchased record-keeping software or contracts for a fixed set of services from an outside organization.

**Insights from RAS studies.** The Hours at Work Survey RAS specifically addressed the issue of records maintained by establishments. The questionnaire asks for two sets of data, hours paid and hours at work (i.e., hours paid minus paid leave) for all production or nonsupervisory workers in the establishment, by quarter and for the entire year. In the RAS, we asked whether the establishment keeps hours data for individual employees, and found that just over four-fifths do. Roughly two-fifths of that group also produce quarterly and/or annual summaries for each worker, with manufacturing establishments (production workers) somewhat more likely to summarize the information than nonmanufacturing (nonsupervisory) firms.

Since one component of hours at work information is hours of paid leave, we asked if respondents maintained records of hours at work, paid leave, or both. While 57 percent of the units have both types of records, 21 percent only have paid leave, 16 percent only have hours at work, and 6 percent have neither (Goldenberg, 1993). It is unclear how the respondents whose firms maintain neither hours paid nor paid leave information completed the HWS forms.

The issue of data availability was also a subject in the NWCS. The survey asked for payroll totals and nonwage cash payment totals for all employees and for production workers. Respondents who did not provide the information frequently indicated that they could not obtain the data from their records. Of the 159 respondents who did not enter production worker payroll totals on the form, 13 percent said it was because they had no separate records for production workers. An additional 18 percent gave reasons related to nonavailability of the data from their records. Similarly, 41 percent of respondents who did not report nonwage cash payments omitted them because the data were not present in their records (Phipps, 1989).

### 6.2.2 Access and Data Retrieval

While the establishment’s information system must contain the data required for a survey, the person responsible for completing the survey must have knowledge of and access to that information. Equally important, the person must understand the relationship between the questions in the questionnaire and the content of the information system (Gower and Nargundkar, 1991). In a small business, the person compiling the information may be an owner or manager, but in a larger organization the responsibility may be assigned to an accountant or to a clerk. The individual must have the authority to use the system, or lacking that, enough knowledge to explain to a third party exactly what information is needed and how to get it.

In addition, an establishment needs a means of retrieving those data, especially if the information system contents do not conform to the definitions specified by the questionnaire. Respondents from small or independent establishments, or firms that maintain manual records, should be able to complete the questionnaire, if the data exist. However, an establishment may be a component of a larger corporate organization that handles all data processing. It may use a service bureau to maintain employee records, or maintain records in-house with a software package that has limited retrieval capabilities. In any of these situations, the respondent may provide data that are easy to obtain, regardless of definition.

**Insights from RAS studies.** The RAS results demonstrate that an establishment may have "computerized" data, but not the data requested in a survey. For example, in the 1990 OESWP RAS 39 percent of respondents said they used a computer listing to fill in the questionnaire, but nearly four-fifths of them (about a third of all respondents) still had to manually calculate the number of employees for each wage group (Phipps, 1991).

The HWS RAS looked more directly at data retrieval. We asked if the firm produced summary reports of hours data for its own purposes. We found that 72 percent of responding establishments did so, but just over two-fifths of them had a report limited to production or nonsupervisory workers. We also learned that the availability of this summary report has a direct effect on data quality. Establishments with summaries limited to production or nonsupervisory workers were more likely to fit the survey definitions. Manufacturing establishments were more likely to have a report limited to production workers (49 percent) than nonmanufacturing industries were to have a report limited to nonsupervisory workers (34 percent).

These studies tell us that having information in a company’s records, and having information available in the format needed for a survey, are two entirely different matters. If the unit already compiles information as needed for a survey, overall data quality will be higher and response burden will be lower.
6.3 Response Errors Associated with the Respondent

The respondent is a third source of errors in survey data. In the discussion that follows, we present several components of response error that we attribute to the respondent and that are relevant to establishment surveys. These sources of error include memory and the respondent’s use (or non-use) of the information system. These error components are not necessarily independent of error components from the task or from the information system.\(^4\)

6.3.1 Memory

Memory plays a large role in determining the accuracy of a respondent’s reporting. Most of the literature on memory errors in survey responses is based on household surveys, but refers to the recall of factual information and is therefore relevant to establishment surveys. Bradburn (1983) identifies two types of memory errors: forgetting, or omission of events (results in underreporting), and telescoping, or recalling events as occurring later in time (results in overreporting).

Memory is relevant to establishment survey respondents in several ways. First, most establishment survey questionnaires have at least a few questions that can be answered without consulting records. (For example, the Hours at Work questionnaire asks for the types of paid leave the firm offers.) Second, some questions require that a respondent remember events or types of activities. Third, a respondent must use memory to determine whether an information element is available in establishment records, and if so, how to access it. Finally, some respondents will use memory instead of the information system as their primary source of data (Section 6.3.2).

Insights from RAS studies. The NWCS RAS specifically addresses memory errors. Most were "errors of omission," i.e., payments that should have been reported on the survey form but were not. We asked all RAS respondents if their establishments gave Christmas or executive bonuses, or merit, incentive or employee recognition awards during the preceding calendar year. If the establishment gave any of the payments, we asked whether the respondent had included them in the figures they reported on the survey form. If not, we asked the reasons for any omissions. The questioning revealed that from one-third to one-half of establishments making specific types of payments failed to report them. There were a total of 106 unreported payments, half of them attributable to 22 respondents. While the largest share of underreporting was due to the unavailability or limitations of records, 15 of the 106 omissions were due to reporters simply forgetting the payment. When we offered cues to aid recall, we found that respondents remembered not only the specific types of payments in the examples, but also others in the category we asked about (Phipps, 1989).

6.3.2 Use (or Non-Use) of the Information System

A respondent can choose to obtain data for an establishment survey from an information system—or the respondent can report from memory. In most cases, this is the difference between providing accurate data (within the constraints of the respondent’s comprehension of the question and the limits of the data available) and providing estimates. Bradburn (1983) notes that there is evidence to support the “commonsense belief” that using records will increase the accuracy of information reported on a survey. However, he adds that records are not a panacea, and they do not totally eliminate response errors. This should be clear from the discussion of other sources of error in Sections 6.1 and 6.2.

Even if respondents extract information from establishment records, they may differ in their attention to the detail they enter on a questionnaire. Cox et al. (1989) observed that some respondents looked at records with exact figures and reported rounded data. When they surveyed small businesses about their finances, these researchers found that respondents were willing to indicate the presence of certain financial products (e.g., types of loans), but that the reported dollar amounts were often estimates (Cox et al., 1989). Gower and Nargundkar (1991) observed that higher level officials in a business were less likely to be concerned with the details that lead to quality data (e.g., differences in the questionnaire relative to data in their information system), and to make estimates instead. They found that office managers, accountants, and comptrollers were more concerned with detail and with the accuracy of the information they were compiling, turning to multiple sources as needed.

Insights from RAS studies. The RAS studies all included questions about the sources of data respondents used for information about specific topics, and several also asked about the use of estimated data. We will focus here on memory as an information source, and the extent to which it was the information source for certain data elements. Respondents who provide data from memory (or based on their knowledge of an establishment) are more prone to make response errors as a result of forgetting, telescoping, or making incorrect estimates.

Three of the five RAS studies specifically included memory as a possible source of information, along with payroll and personnel records. In most cases, memory was one of the sources used—unreasonable for certain types of data. Forty-nine percent of respondents to the 1990 OESWP cited memory as a data source, as did 30 percent of respondents to the HWS. In the ETJO survey, 22 to 24 percent of respondents cited memory as one source of information on employee separations, new hires, and job openings, while the vast majority of respondents in establishments with no employment turnover (79 percent) indicated that memory was one of their data sources.

In all of these studies, the number of respondents whose only source of information was memory is relatively small, and they are concentrated in small estab-
lishments. Almost two-thirds of the memory-only respondents in the 1990 OESWP were in firms with fewer than 10 employees, as were 77 percent of memory-only ETJO respondents whose firms had no employment turnover activity. Both of these surveys ask for data covering a relatively short and current time period, which would help to minimize both telescoping and forgetting errors. The small size of the firms also means there are fewer events for a respondent to try to recall, which should contribute to greater accuracy.

A striking finding from the studies with both mail and telephone components is that memory-only respondents are overwhelmingly respondents to telephone nonresponse follow-ups, while respondents who use records are more likely to respond by mail. The telephone interview may, by its very nature, discourage respondents from checking records. For example, the ETJO survey had 16 respondents (of 144) who indicated that memory was their only source of data. Thirteen of the 16 were telephone respondents.

In sum, our experience with respondents who use memory rather than the information system is that they have only a limited impact on overall data quality. Most come from small establishments, and their numbers overall are relatively small. The influence of memory—i.e., the non-use of records—seems to be stronger for telephone surveys, although we must be extremely cautious about drawing conclusions. These data suggest that telephone surveys are less than ideal for collecting complex data, at least on a one-time basis. The situation is somewhat different in the case of surveys that return to the same respondents regularly.

7.0 Discussion

Response Analysis Surveys are a useful tool for identifying and evaluating data quality. They provide a source of quantitative information about respondent behavior vis-a-vis a questionnaire, and they obtain reasons for that behavior. A RAS is particularly valuable when used in conjunction with cognitively-based questionnaire-design techniques, such as focus group discussions and think-aloud pretest interviews. It offers a way to examine issues identified as problem areas during form development, and to see if the resolutions to those issues were effective. They also provide a means of identifying other, previously unknown, response problems. If the survey is an ongoing one, a RAS provides a feedback mechanism and a framework for testing study modifications and future improvements.

The largest group of errors identified in the BLS RAS studies are errors associated with the data collection instrument, and specifically with the wording and layout of questions and instructions. We found problems with understanding concepts or terminology, adherence to definitions, use of reference periods, and missing or unclear instructions. Most of these errors are, at least in theory, controllable—and not unique to establishment surveys. They result from the way we ask for the data. Eliminating comprehension problems is no small matter, and may require extensive research to ensure that questions addressed to a specific audience can be understood by that audience as the researcher intended them to be understood. Part of this process may involve recognizing multiple audiences, and designing data collection procedures accordingly.

To a certain extent, we can include memory errors among the controllable errors. Questionnaire designers can incorporate cues to improve recall; the cues have the added benefit of improving comprehension as well as memory (Dippo and Herrmann, 1991). The memory errors identified in the NWCS RAS might have been avoided had we provided examples of the bonuses and awards of interest on the questionnaire.

Errors that survey designers can not totally control result from the structure, content, and retrieval capabilities of respondents’ information systems. We found numerous examples in the RAS studies of reported information that does not meet our definitions because of the way the records are maintained, and of information that requires significant additional compilation to conform to the questionnaire. Even here, however, there are steps researchers can take to minimize response errors. Survey designers can consult with potential respondents while designing the questionnaire, to find out how respondents might maintain their data. We can request data in a form that respondents are able to provide, and we can ask respondents to identify data that may not meet our definitions.

As researchers, we may have to compromise as we define survey concepts. While definitions must be precise, the RAS studies indicate that the research community may not always (or even often) get exactly what it asks for. What we can do is to accept high-quality data that is almost what we want. The alternative—the current situation?—may be to obtain exactly what we want, but with low response rates, or to ask for exactly what we want and accept what we get, with or without an understanding of the data we are really being given. In either case, response analysis surveys can help us to understand the data.
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