

Comparing Approaches to Value Owner-Occupied Housing Using U.S. Consumer Expenditure Survey Data

Thesia I. Garner and Uri Kogan

ASSA-SGE Annual Meetings Chicago January 7, 2007

The views presented are those of the authors and do not reflect the views or policies of the BLS or other organizations

Outline

- Introduction
 - Importance
 - Purpose of this study
 - Summary of findings
- Methods and procedures
- Results
- Conclusions



Introduction

- Prevalence of owner-occupied housing in U.S.
- Importance for economic well-being measurement
 - Consumption
 - Income
- Federal statistics
 - CPI
 - PCE and National Income Accounts
- Census Bureau, other agencies, and groups
 - Request for income statistics for poverty measurement
- International standard (ILO, SNA, EuroStat)



Purpose

- To explore how owner-occupied housing can be valued so that the flow of services from such housing can be captured in consumption expenditures and income
 - Hedonic regression models
 - Pooled sample of owners and renters (capitalization rate model)
 - Renter sample (renter hedonic model)
 - Reported rental equivalence (modeled)



To compare predicted owner implicit rents To produce implicit net rental income

• To explore what might influence responses to the rental equivalence question in the CE



Caveats

- Preliminary results
 - not to be quoted without permission
- Statistical tests of differences across approaches not conducted
- Regression statistics do not reflect complex sample design of the CE although results are based on population weighting



Summary of Findings

- Implicit rents vary across geographic areas
- Implicit rents based on rental equivalence are higher than those based ۲ on
 - Hedonic regressions of renters' rents
 - Implicit capitalization rates
- Net implicit rental income ۰
 - Highest with rental equivalence for MSA areas
 - Usually higher with cap rate model versus renter hedonic model
- Rental equivalence model with additional variables
 - Positive and statistically significant relationship
 - Out-of-pocket shelter spending
 - Education
 - Not statistically significant
 - Mortgage status
 - Age of respondent



Contribution to the Literature

- Exploratory study comparing approaches to derive implicit rents for owner-occupied housing
- First to use implicit rents from the three approaches in the production of net implicit rents that could be added to income using CE data

(*Earlier work by Garner, Short, and Kogan (2006) was first to produce implicit rents using the three approaches)*



Valuing Owner-Occupied Housing Services

 Pooled-tenure hedonic model (renters and owners) - capitalization rate

 $Ln(price) = BX + \gamma Tenure + \varepsilon$

 Renter hedonic model of rents

 $Ln(rent) = BX + \varepsilon$

 Owner hedonic model of rental equivalence $renteq = BX + \varepsilon$

Pooled-Tenure Hedonic Model

 $\ln(price) = BX + \gamma Tenure + \varepsilon$

 $ln(propertyvalue) = BX + \gamma + \varepsilon$ $ln(rent) = BX + \varepsilon$

 $\ln(rent) - \ln(propertyvalue) = -\gamma$





CE Rental Equivalence

What would you say that your dwelling would rent for monthly unfurnished and without utilities?



Regressors

- Number of rooms not including baths
- Number of full baths
- Number of half baths
- Dwelling age
- Dwelling age missing
- Single detached home
- Mobile home
- Off-street parking
- Porch, balcony, patio
- Central AC
- Window AC
- Number of persons per room
- Median property value within PSU

- For pooled regression
 - Tenure
 - Energy utilities in rent
 - Water/trash utilities in rent
- For renter regression
 - Energy utilities in rent
 - Water/trash utilities in rent
- For rental equivalence
 - Value of property
 - Value of property squared



Net Implicit Rental Income

- Owner is a producer of housing services
- Defined as:

$$R_n = (R_g - C) + \rho V$$

 R_n = After tax net implicit rental income

- $R_{g} = Gross implicit rent$
- C = Operating costs net of tax preferences
- $\rho =$ Expected appreciation
- V = Current market value



Operating Costs

- Owner-Producer pays to maintain the property, cost of financing, and depreciation
 - Specifically
 - Maintenance and repairs
 - Property insurance
 - Property taxes (preferential treatment)
 - Mortgage interest (preferential treatment)
 - Depreciation



Simplified Definition of Net Implicit Rental Income

- Property insurance (0.5 * owners')
- No adjustment for preferential treatment of
 - Property taxes
 - Mortgage interest
- No accounting for
 - Depreciation
 - Appreciation



CE Interview Data

- Collected using personal interviews (and telephone)
- Nationally representative of non-institutionalized consumer units (CUs)
- Sampling frame: 1990 Census with augmentation
- Collected on continuing basis since autumn 1979 with panel rotation (CUs in and out in 5 consecutive quarters)
- Introduction of CAPI 2003Q2
- Study variables caveat
 - Property value of owned home and dwelling unit characteristics: asked in first interview only for "today"
 - Rental equivalence: asked each quarter for "today"
 - Rents paid (not adjusted for business expenses): asked each quarter for last three months



CE Interview Data: Study Sample

- 2003Q2-2004Q1 (~30,000 interviews)
- Sample restrictions
 - Last interview
 - Not in student housing, government or subsidized housing
 - Renters
 - Positive rents
 - Did not receive rent as pay
 - Owners
 - Positive rental equivalence and positive property values
 - Lived in same owned property in last three months (issue for shelter expenses)
 - No imputations for dependent variables
 - Rents: 96% of unrestricted sample
 - Owners' rental equivalence: 75% of unrestricted sample

 - Owners with both restrictions: 66% of unrestricted sample



Final Sample

n=~10,300 consumer units

70% of unrestricted sample

≻42% renters (31%)

≻58% owners (69%)



Results

- Analysis conducted at region-MSA status level
 - Pooled regression for capitalization rate hedonic
- Analysis conducted at region level with results shown at region-MSA status level
 - Renter hedonic
 - Reported rental equivalence hedonic
- All results are population weighted
- Present results for regional central cities
 - Derived capitalization rates
 - Predicted implicit rents
 - Net implicit rental incomes



Capitalization Rates for Central City 2003: CE and AHS



oercent

TIST/

Median Monthly Owners' Implicit Rents and Expenditures: 2003



Average Operating Costs as Shares of Owners' Implicit Rents: 2003



Median Monthly Owners' Net Implicit Rent: 2003



SIJ

Rental Equivalence: Additional Information

- Log linear model with demographics and other variables fits the data better
- Positive and statistically significant coefficients
 - Quarterly spending on shelter
 - Higher education
- Did not add to the explanatory power of the model
 - Age of respondent
 - Whether the CU had a mortgage or not



Conclusions

- Location, location, location
 - Owner imputed rents are different across geographic areas
 - Importance of housing unit characteristics varies across areas
- Rental equivalence results in highest implicit rents
- Net implicit rental income can be derived from CE but more work is needed to produce a more complete measure
- Data issue: using imputed versus not imputed rents, rental equivalence, and property values from the CE has an impact on the results



- Further research is needed to identify reasons why rental equivalence is always higher than imputed rents based on the other two approaches
 - Housing unit quality and neighborhood quality
 - Role of the presence of renters in a geographic area (i.e., renter intensity)
- Caution should be followed before one approach is selected over another to produce measures of consumption and income that account for the value of owner-occupied housing in the U.S.
- Much more work needs to be done ... we have only just begun



Shelter Expenditures

- Associated with producing housing services operating costs
 - Mortgage interest
 - Property taxes
 - Property insurance
 - Maintenance and repairs
- All owner shelter expenditures (including mortgage repayments, equity loans and lines of credit)

