



For Release: Friday, January 19, 2018 17-1591-CHI

MIDWEST INFORMATION OFFICE: Chicago, III.

Technical information: (312) 353-1880 BLSInfoChicago@bls.gov www.bls.gov/regions/midwest

Media contact: (312) 353-1138

County Employment and Wages in Iowa — Second Quarter 2017

All four of the large counties in Iowa reported employment growth from June 2016 to June 2017, the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported today. (Large counties are defined as those with employment of 75,000 or more as measured by 2016 annual average employment.) Assistant Commissioner for Regional Operations Charlene Peiffer noted that Polk County had the largest increase, up 1.9 percent, followed by the counties of Johnson (1.7 percent) and Scott (1.6 percent). Linn County had an over-the-year employment increase of 0.5 percent. Nationally, employment increased 1.7 percent. (See table 1.)

Among the four largest counties in Iowa, employment was highest in Polk County (303,500) in June 2017. Collectively, Iowa's four large counties accounted for 39.0 percent of total employment within the state. Nationwide, the 346 largest counties made up 72.7 percent of total U.S. employment, which stood at 145.2 million in June 2017.

The average weekly wage in Polk County rose 4.3 percent from the second quarter of 2016 to the second quarter of 2017, the largest increase among Iowa's large counties. Polk County also had the highest average weekly wage in the state at \$1,018. (See table 1.) Nationally, the average weekly wage rose 3.2 percent over the year to \$1,020 in the second quarter of 2017.

Employment and wage levels (but not over-the-year changes) are also available for the 95 counties in Iowa with employment below 75,000. All of these smaller counties had average weekly wages below the national average. (See table 2.)

Large county wage changes

Only one of Iowa's large counties, Polk, recorded wage growth above the national increase of 3.2 percent from the second quarter of 2016 to the second quarter of 2017. (See table 1.) Polk County's 4.3-percent increase in average weekly wages ranked 71st among the 346 largest U.S. counties. Johnson County (3.1 percent) also placed in the top half of the national ranking at 156th.

Among the 346 largest U.S. counties, 325 had over-the-year increases in average weekly wages in the second quarter of 2017. New Hanover, N.C., ranked first in average weekly wage growth with a gain of 11.9 percent. Nineteen of the 346 largest counties experienced over-the-year decreases in average weekly wages. McLean, Ill., had the largest percentage decline in average weekly wages with a loss of 20.4 percent.

Large county average weekly wages

Average weekly wages in all four large Iowa counties were below the national average of \$1,020 in the second quarter of 2017. As noted, Polk County (\$1,018) had the highest average weekly wage in the state and ranked 98th among the 346 largest counties in the nation. Scott County (\$812) reported the lowest average weekly wage among Iowa's large counties and ranked 300th nationwide.

Nationwide, weekly wages were higher than the U.S. average of \$1,020 in 97 of the largest U.S. counties. Santa Clara, Calif., held the top position with an average weekly wage of \$2,392. San Mateo, Calif., was second at \$2,093, followed by San Francisco, Calif. (\$1,941), and New York, N.Y. (\$1,907). There were 249 large U.S. counties with an average weekly wage below the national average. Cameron, Texas (\$615) reported the lowest weekly wage, followed by Horry, S.C. (\$622) and the Texas counties of Hidalgo (\$632) and Webb (\$667).

Average weekly wages in Iowa's smaller counties

All 95 counties in Iowa with employment below 75,000 had average weekly wages below the national average of \$1,020. Among these smaller counties, Dallas County had the highest average weekly wage at \$961, and Decatur County had the lowest at \$617. (See table 2.)

When all 99 counties in Iowa were considered, 28 reported average weekly wages of \$699 or lower, 29 reported wages from \$700 to \$749, 25 had wages from \$750 to \$799, and 17 had wages of \$800 or higher. (See chart 1.)

Additional statistics and other information

QCEW data for states have been included in this release in table 3. For additional information about quarterly employment and wages data, please read the Technical Note or visit www.bls.gov/cew.

Employment and Wages Annual Averages Online features comprehensive information by detailed industry on establishments, employment, and wages for the nation and all states. The 2016 edition of this publication contains selected data produced by Business Employment Dynamics (BED) on job gains and losses, as well as selected data from the first quarter 2017 version of the national news release. Tables and additional content from Employment and Wages Annual Averages Online are now available at www.bls.gov/cew/publications/employment-and-wages-annual-averages/2016/home.htm.

The County Employment and Wages release for third quarter 2017 is scheduled to be released on Thursday, March 8, 2018.

Technical Note

Average weekly wage data by county are compiled under the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW) program, also known as the ES-202 program. The data are derived from summaries of employment and total pay of workers covered by state and federal unemployment insurance (UI) legislation and provided by State Workforce Agencies (SWAs). The 9.9 million employer reports cover 145.2 million full- and part-time workers. The average weekly wage values are calculated by dividing quarterly total wages by the average of the three monthly employment levels of those covered by UI programs. The result is then divided by 13, the number of weeks in a quarter. It is to be noted, therefore, that over-the-year wage changes for geographic areas may reflect shifts in the composition of employment by industry, occupation, and such other factors as hours of work. Thus, wages may vary among counties, metropolitan areas, or states for reasons other than changes in

the average wage level. Data for all states, Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), counties, and the nation are available on the BLS Web site at www.bls.gov/cew; however, data in QCEW press releases have been revised and may not match the data contained on the Bureau's Web site.

QCEW data are not designed as a time series. QCEW data are simply the sums of individual establishment records reflecting the number of establishments that exist in a county or industry at a point in time. Establishments can move in or out of a county or industry for a number of reasons—some reflecting economic events, others reflecting administrative changes.

The preliminary QCEW data presented in this release may differ from data released by the individual states as well as from the data presented on the BLS Web site. These potential differences result from the states' continuing receipt, review and editing of UI data over time. On the other hand, differences between data in this release and the data found on the BLS Web site are the result of adjustments made to improve over-the-year comparisons. Specifically, these adjustments account for administrative (noneconomic) changes such as a correction to a previously reported location or industry classification. Adjusting for these administrative changes allows users to more accurately assess changes of an economic nature (such as a firm moving from one county to another or changing its primary economic activity) over a 12-month period. Currently, adjusted data are available only from BLS press releases.

Information in this release will be made available to sensory impaired individuals upon request. Voice phone: (202) 691-5200; Federal Relay Service: (800) 877-8339.

Table 1. Covered employment and wages in the United States and the 4 largest counties in Iowa, second quarter 2017

	Employment			Average weekly wage (1)				
Area	June 2017 (thousands)	Percent change, June 2016-17 ⁽²⁾	National ranking by percent change (3)	Average weekly wage	National ranking by level (3)	Percent change, second quarter 2016-17 ⁽²⁾	National ranking by percent change (3)	
United States (4)	145,186.4	1.7		\$1,020		3.2		
lowa	1,571.4	0.4		853	39	3.3	19	
Johnson, Iowa	84.1	1.7	157	944	164	3.1	156	
Linn, Iowa	132.3	0.5	287	971	141	2.6	196	
Polk, Iowa	303.5	1.9	134	1,018	98	4.3	71	
Scott, Iowa	93.0	1.6	170	812	300	2.4	215	

Footnotes:

- (1) Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.
- (2) Percent changes were computed from quarterly employment and pay data adjusted for noneconomic county reclassifications.
- (3) Ranking does not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.
- (4) Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

Note: Data are preliminary. Covered employment and wages includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.

Table 2. Covered employment and wages in the United States and all counties in Iowa, second quarter 2017

Area	Employment June 2017	Average weekly wage(1)
ited States(2)	145,186,369	\$1,0
owa	1,571,414	8
Adair	2,852	6
Adams	1,250	7
Allamakee	5,401	6
Appanoose	4,789	7
Audubon	1,835	6
Benton		7
Black Hawk		8
Boone		7
Bremer		7
Buchanan		7
Buena Vista		
Butler		
Calhoun		6
Carroll		
	· ·	
Cass	1	7
Cedar	'	6
Cerro Gordo	'	7
Cherokee	'	7
Chickasaw	5,051	7
Clarke	4,502	7
Clay	8,904	ļ - -
Clayton	7,071	7
Clinton	21,124	7
Crawford	7,059	7
Dallas	44,294	
Davis	2,200	
Decatur	2,322	
Delaware	6,931	ļ -
Des Moines		ļ -
Dickinson		
Dubuque		8
Emmet		-
Fayette		
Floyd	6,247	-
_ •	·	
Franklin	· ·	
Fremont	'	
Greene		
Grundy	· ·	
Guthrie	· ·	7
Hamilton	· ·	7
Hancock	6,876	1
Hardin	7,364	7
Harrison	4,344	
Henry	9,594	7
Howard	4,158	
Humboldt	4,203	-
lda	3,788	[
lowa	10,312	<u>-</u>
Jackson		
Jasper		-
Jefferson		-
Johnson		,
	· ·	
Jones		7
Keokuk		

Table 2. Covered employment and wages in the United States and all counties in Iowa, second quarter 2017 -Continued

Lee 15,387 Linn 132,331 Louisa 3,911 Lucas 3,446 Lyon 4,785 Madison 4,134 Mahaska 8,052 Marion 17,652 Marshall 17,349 Mills 3,699 Mitchell 4,041 Monora 2,762 Monroe 3,539 Montgomery 4,181 Muscatine 23,904 O'Brien 6,655 Osceola 2,504 Page 6,170 Palo Alto 4,039 Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	830 971 710 739 676 698 758
Louisa 3,911 Lucas 3,446 Lyon 4,785 Madison 4,134 Mahaska 8,052 Marion 17,652 Marshall 17,349 Mills 3,699 Mitchell 4,041 Monona 2,762 Monroe 3,539 Montgomery 4,181 Muscatine 23,904 O'Brien 6,655 Osceola 2,504 Page 6,170 Palo Alto 4,039 Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	710 739 676 698
Lucas 3,446 Lyon 4,785 Madison 4,134 Mahaska 8,052 Marion 17,652 Marshall 17,349 Mills 3,699 Mitchell 4,041 Monona 2,762 Monree 3,539 Montgomery 4,181 Muscatine 23,904 O'Brien 6,655 Osceola 2,504 Page 6,170 Palo Alto 4,039 Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	739 676 698
Lyon 4,785 Madison 4,134 Mahaska 8,052 Marion 17,652 Marshall 17,349 Mills 3,699 Mitchell 4,041 Monona 2,762 Monroe 3,539 Montgomery 4,181 Muscatine 23,904 O'Brien 6,655 Osceola 2,504 Page 6,170 Palo Alto 4,039 Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	676 698
Madison 4,134 Mahaska 8,052 Marion 17,652 Marshall 17,349 Mills 3,699 Milchell 4,041 Monona 2,762 Monroe 3,539 Montgomery 4,181 Muscatine 23,904 O'Brien 6,655 Oscoola 2,504 Page 6,170 Palo Alto 4,039 Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	698
Mahaska 8,052 Marion 17,652 Marshall 17,349 Mills 3,699 Mitchell 4,041 Monona 2,762 Montgomery 4,181 Muscatine 23,904 O'Brien 6,655 Osceola 2,504 Page 6,170 Palo Alto 4,039 Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	
Marion 17,652 Marshall 17,349 Mills 3,699 Mitchell 4,041 Monona 2,762 Monroe 3,539 Montgomery 4,181 Muscatine 23,904 O'Brien 6,655 Osceola 2,504 Page 6,170 Palo Alto 4,039 Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	758
Marshall 17,349 Mills 3,699 Mitchell 4,041 Monona 2,762 Monroe 3,539 Montgomery 4,181 Muscatine 23,904 O'Brien 6,655 Osceola 2,504 Page 6,170 Palo Alto 4,039 Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	
Marshall 17,349 Mills 3,699 Mitchell 4,041 Monona 2,762 Monroe 3,539 Montgomery 4,181 Muscatine 23,904 O'Brien 6,655 Osceola 2,504 Page 6,170 Palo Alto 4,039 Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	848
Mitchell 4,041 Monona 2,762 Monroe 3,539 Montgomery 4,181 Muscatine 23,904 O'Brien 6,655 Osceola 2,504 Page 6,170 Palo Alto 4,039 Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	788
Monona 2,762 Monroe 3,539 Montgomery 4,181 Muscatine 23,904 O'Brien 6,655 Osceola 2,504 Page 6,170 Palo Alto 4,039 Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	794
Monroe 3,539 Montgomery 4,181 Muscatine 23,904 O'Brien 6,655 Osceola 2,504 Page 6,170 Palo Alto 4,039 Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	756
Monroe 3,539 Montgomery 4,181 Muscatine 23,904 O'Brien 6,655 Osceola 2,504 Page 6,170 Palo Alto 4,039 Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	648
Montgomery 4,181 Muscatine 23,904 O'Brien 6,655 Osceola 2,504 Page 6,170 Palo Alto 4,039 Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	879
Muscatine 23,904 O'Brien 6,655 Osceola 2,504 Page 6,170 Palo Alto 4,039 Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	735
O'Brien 6,655 Osceola 2,504 Page 6,170 Palo Alto 4,039 Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	913
Osceola 2,504 Page 6,170 Palo Alto 4,039 Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	678
Page 6,170 Palo Alto 4,039 Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	740
Palo Alto 4,039 Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	754
Plymouth 11,905 Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	686
Pocahontas 2,846 Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	855
Polk 303,502 Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	753
Pottawattamie 39,032 Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	1,018
Poweshiek 10,187 Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	752
Ringgold 1,472 Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	784
Sac 3,169 Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	656
Scott 92,978 Shelby 5,985 Sioux 21,053	678
Shelby	812
Sioux	747
	748
Story	911
Tama	684
Taylor	673
Union	723
Van Buren	621
Wapello	737
Warren	718
Washington	649
Wayne	
Webster	730
Winnebago	730 805
Winneshiek	805
Woodbury	805 657
Worth	805 657 747
Wright	805 657

Footnotes

(2) Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

NOTE: Includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs. Data are preliminary.

⁽¹⁾ Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.

Table 3. Covered employment and wages by state, second quarter 2017

	Employment		Average weekly wage (1)				
State	June 2017 (thousands)	Percent change, June 2016-17	Average weekly wage	National ranking by level	Percent change, second quarter 2016-17	National ranking by percent change	
United States (2)	145,186.4	1.7	\$1,020		3.2		
Alabama	1,946.4	1.2	858	38	2.8	31	
Alaska	338.4	-0.7	1,005	16	-0.5	51	
Arizona	2,699.6	2.9	943	23	2.5	35	
Arkansas	1,206.0	0.7	810	47	3.2	22	
California	17,150.9	2.2	1,210	5	4.7	3	
Colorado	2,638.8	2.5	1,042	11	4.2	5	
Connecticut	1,701.2	0.6	1,216	4	0.4	50	
Delaware	446.6	0.6	1,012	15	2.2	43	
District of Columbia	766.5	1.0	1,675	1	3.3	19	
Florida	8,390.6	2.8	905	27	2.5	35	
Georgia		2.1	956	21	2.9	27	
Hawaii		1.0	935	24	3.5	13	
Idaho	723.5	3.4	765	50	3.4	16	
Illinois		0.9	1,062	9	2.4	39	
Indiana		1.5	859	37	3.7	9	
lowa		0.4	853	39	3.3	19	
Kansas		-0.1	849	40	2.4	39	
Kentucky		0.8	862	35	2.9	27	
Louisiana		0.0	869	34	2.0	46	
Maine	1 '	0.9	814	46	2.5	35	
Maryland		1.4	1,103	8	3.1	23	
Massachusetts	1 '	1.6	1,278	2	3.6	11	
Michigan	1 '		969	19	2.9	27	
Minnesota		2.0	1,037	12	3.9	6	
Mississippi		0.7	732	51	0.8	49	
Missouri		1.2	889	30	3.0	25	
Montana	1 '	1.3	797	48	3.9	6	
Nebraska		0.4	833	43	3.5	13	
Nevada		3.4	900	29	2.9	27	
New Hampshire		1.6	1,015	14	1.2	48	
•	I	1.8	1,173	14	2.3	41	
New Jersey		0.7	823	45	1.5	47	
New Mexico	1	1.6	1,237	3	2.2	43	
New York	1						
North Carolina	1 '	1.8	902	28	4.3	4 2	
North Dakota		-0.2	953	22	5.0		
Ohio	1 '	1.2	912	25	3.3	19	
Oklahoma	1 '	0.8	845	41	2.5	35	
Oregon	1	2.2	967	20	3.8	8	
Pennsylvania		1.3	1,000	17	3.0	25	
Rhode Island		1.0	980	18	2.6	33	
South Carolina	1 '	2.0	834	42	3.6	11	
South Dakota		0.6	785	49	3.4	16	
Tennessee	1 '	1.8	906	26	3.5	13	
Texas	1 '		1,027	13	2.7	32	
Utah	1 '	3.4	862	35	2.6	33	
Vermont		1.0	870	33	2.1	45	
Virginia	1	1.5	1,047	10	3.7	9	
Washington		2.2	1,141	7	5.6	1	
West Virginia	I	-0.3	828	44	3.4	16	
Wisconsin	1 '	1.1	876	31	2.3	41	
Wyoming	I		875	32	3.1	23	
Puerto Rico	873.6	-1.0	515	(3)	1.2	(3)	

Note: See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3. Covered employment and wages by state, second quarter 2017 - Continued

	Employment		Average weekly wage (1)				
State	June 2017 (thousands)	Percent change, June 2016-17	Average weekly wage	National ranking by level	Percent change, second quarter 2016-17	National ranking by percent change	
Virgin Islands	38.6	0.4	762	(3)	2.6	(3)	

Footnotes:

- (1) Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.
- (2) Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.
- (3) Data not included in the national ranking.

Note: Data are preliminary. Covered employment and wages includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.

Chart 1. Average weekly wages by county in lowa, second quarter 2017

